# UNIVERSITY OF AARHUS DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS



ISSN: 1397-4076

# LOCAL COHOMOLOGY AND $\mathcal{D}$ -AFFINITY IN POSITIVE CHARACTERISTIC

By Masaki Kashiwara and Niels Lauritzen

Preprint Series No.: 6

October 2002

Ny Munkegade, Bldg. 530 DK-8000 Aarhus C, Denmark http://www.imf.au.dk institut@imf.au.dk

### LOCAL COHOMOLOGY AND $\mathcal{D} ext{-}AFFINITY$ IN POSITIVE CHARACTERISTIC

#### MASAKI KASHIWARA AND NIELS LAURITZEN

#### 1. Introduction

Let k be a field. Consider the polynomial ring

$$R = k \left[ \begin{array}{ccc} X_{11} & X_{12} & X_{13} \\ X_{21} & X_{22} & X_{23} \end{array} \right]$$

and  $I \subset R$  the ideal generated by the three  $2 \times 2$  minors

$$f_1 := \left| \begin{array}{cc} X_{12} & X_{13} \\ X_{22} & X_{23} \end{array} \right|, \quad f_2 := \left| \begin{array}{cc} X_{13} & X_{11} \\ X_{23} & X_{21} \end{array} \right| \quad \text{and} \quad f_3 := \left| \begin{array}{cc} X_{11} & X_{12} \\ X_{21} & X_{22} \end{array} \right|.$$

If k is a field of positive characteristic, the local cohomology modules  $\mathrm{H}_{I}^{j}(R)$  vanish for j>2 (see Chapitre III, Proposition (4.1) in [2]). However, if k is a field of characteristic zero,  $\mathrm{H}_{I}^{3}(R)$  is non-vanishing (see Proposition 2.1 of this paper or Remark 3.13 in [4]).

Consider the Grassmann variety  $X = \operatorname{Gr}(2, V)$  of 2-dimensional vector subspaces of a 5-dimensional vector space V over k. Let us take a two dimensional subspace W of V. Then the singularity R/I appears in the Schubert variety  $Y \subset X$  of 2-dimensional subspaces E such that  $\dim(E \cap W) \geq 1$ . Therefore  $\mathcal{H}_Y^3(\mathcal{O}_X)$  does not vanish in characteristic zero while it does vanish in positive characteristic.

In this paper we show how this difference in the vanishing of local cohomology translates into a non-vanishing first cohomology group for the  $\mathcal{D}_X$ -module  $\mathcal{H}^2_Y(\mathcal{O}_X)$  in positive characteristic.

Previous work of Haastert ([3]) showed the Beilinson-Bernstein equivalence ([1]) to hold for projective spaces and the flag manifold of  $SL_3$  in positive characteristic. However, as we show in this paper,  $\mathcal{D}$ -affinity breaks down for the flag manifold of  $SL_5$  in all positive characteristics. The Beilinson-Bernstein equivalence, therefore, does not carry over to flag manifolds in positive characteristic.

Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary: 32C38; Secondary: 14B15.

The research of the first author is partially supported by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (B) 13440006, Japan Society for the Promotion of Science. The research of the second author was supported in part by the TMR-programme "Algebraic Lie Representations" (ECM Network Contract No. ERB FMRX-CT 97/0100) and the Danish Natural Science Research Council.

#### 2. Local cohomology

Keep the notation from §1. A topological proof of the following proposition is given in §5.

**Proposition 2.1.**  $H_I^3(R)$  does not vanish in characteristic zero.

Corollary 2.2.  $\mathcal{H}_{V}^{3}(\mathcal{O}_{X})$  does not vanish in characteristic zero.

The local to global spectral sequence

$$H^p(X, \mathcal{H}^q_Y(\mathcal{O}_X)) \Rightarrow H^{p+q}_Y(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$$

and  $\mathcal{D}$ -affinity in characteristic zero ([1]) implies

$$\mathrm{H}^3_Y(X,\mathcal{O}_X) = \Gamma(X,\mathcal{H}^3_Y(\mathcal{O}_X)) \neq 0.$$

On the other hand, if k is a field of positive characteristic,  $\mathcal{H}_Y^q(\mathcal{O}_X) = 0$  if  $q \neq 2$ , since Y is a codimension two Cohen Macaulay subvariety of the smooth variety X ([2], Chapitre III, Proposition (4.1)). This gives a totally different degeneration of the local to global spectral sequence. In the positive characteristic case we get

$$H^p(X, \mathcal{H}^2_Y(\mathcal{O}_X)) \cong H^{p+2}_Y(X, \mathcal{O}_X).$$

We will prove that  $H_Y^3(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \neq 0$  even if k is a field of positive characteristic. This will give the desired non-vanishing

$$\mathrm{H}^1(X,\mathcal{H}^2_Y(\mathcal{O}_X)) \neq 0$$

in positive characteristic.

#### 3. Lifting to $\mathbb{Z}$

To deduce the non-vanishing of  $H_Y^3(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$  in positive characteristic, we need to compute the local cohomology over  $\mathbb{Z}$  and proceed by base change. Flag manifolds and their Schubert varieties admit flat lifts to  $\mathbb{Z}$ -schemes. In this section  $X_{\mathbb{Z}}$  and  $Y_{\mathbb{Z}}$  will denote flat lifts of a flag manifold X and a Schubert variety  $Y \subset X$  respectively.

The local Grothendieck-Cousin complex (cf. [5], §8) of the structure sheaf  $\mathcal{O}_{X_{\mathbb{Z}}}$ 

(3.1) 
$$\mathcal{H}^{0}_{X_0/X_1}(\mathcal{O}_{X_{\mathbb{Z}}}) \to \mathcal{H}^{1}_{X_1/X_2}(\mathcal{O}_{X_{\mathbb{Z}}}) \to \cdots,$$

where  $X_i$  denotes the union of Schubert schemes of codimension i, is a resolution of  $\mathcal{O}_{X_{\mathbb{Z}}}$ , since  $\mathcal{O}_{X_{\mathbb{Z}}}$  is Cohen Macaulay, codim  $X_i \geq i$  and  $X_i \setminus X_{i+1} \to X$  are affine morphisms for all i (see [5], Theorem 10.9). The sheaves in this resolution decompose into direct sums

$$\mathcal{H}^{i}_{X_{i}/X_{i+1}}(\mathcal{O}_{X_{\mathbb{Z}}}) = \bigoplus_{\operatorname{codim}(C)=i} \mathcal{H}^{i}_{C}(\mathcal{O}_{X_{\mathbb{Z}}})$$

of local cohomology sheaves  $\mathcal{H}_{C}^{i}(\mathcal{O}_{X_{\mathbb{Z}}})$  with support in Bruhat cells C of codimension i. The degeneration of the local to global spectral sequence gives

$$\mathrm{H}^p_{Y_{\mathbb{Z}}}(X_{\mathbb{Z}},\mathcal{H}^c_C(\mathcal{O}_{X_{\mathbb{Z}}})) = \mathrm{H}^{p+c}_{C\cap Y_{\mathbb{Z}}}(X_{\mathbb{Z}},\mathcal{O}_{X_{\mathbb{Z}}}),$$

since  $\mathcal{H}_{C}^{i}(\mathcal{O}_{X_{\mathbb{Z}}}) = 0$  if  $i \neq c = \operatorname{codim}(C)$ .

Since the scheme  $X_i \setminus X_{i+1}$  is affine it follows that  $H_C^p(X_{\mathbb{Z}}, \mathcal{O}_{X_{\mathbb{Z}}}) = 0$  if  $p \neq \operatorname{codim}(C)$  ([5], Theorem 10.9). This shows that the resolution (3.1) is acyclic for the functor  $\Gamma_{Y_{\mathbb{Z}}}$  and

$$\Gamma_{Y_{\mathbb{Z}}}(X_{\mathbb{Z}}, \mathcal{H}^{c}_{C}(\mathcal{O}_{X_{\mathbb{Z}}})) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } C \not\subset Y_{Z} \\ H^{c}_{C}(X_{\mathbb{Z}}, \mathcal{O}_{X_{\mathbb{Z}}}) & \text{if } C \subset Y_{\mathbb{Z}}, \end{cases}$$

where  $c = \operatorname{codim}(C)$ . Applying  $\Gamma_{Y_{\mathbb{Z}}}(X_{\mathbb{Z}}, -)$  to (3.1) we get the complex

$$M_Y^{\bullet}: \mathrm{H}^c_{C_Y}(X_{\mathbb{Z}}, \mathcal{O}_{X_{\mathbb{Z}}}) \to \bigoplus_{\mathrm{codim}(C) = c+1, C \subset Y_{\mathbb{Z}}} \mathrm{H}^{c+1}_C(X_{\mathbb{Z}}, \mathcal{O}_{X_{\mathbb{Z}}}) \to \cdots,$$

where c is the codimension of  $Y_{\mathbb{Z}}$ ,  $C_Y$  is the open Bruhat cell in  $Y_{\mathbb{Z}}$  and  $\mathrm{H}^c_{C_Y}(X_{\mathbb{Z}},\mathcal{O}_{X_{\mathbb{Z}}})$  sits in degree c. Notice that  $\mathrm{H}^i(M_Y^{\bullet})=\mathrm{H}^i_{Y_{\mathbb{Z}}}(X_{\mathbb{Z}},\mathcal{O}_{X_{\mathbb{Z}}})$  and that  $M_Y^{\bullet}$  is a complex of free abelian groups. In fact the individual entries  $\mathrm{H}^i_C(X_{\mathbb{Z}},\mathcal{O}_{X_{\mathbb{Z}}})$  are direct sums of weight spaces, which are finitely generated free abelian groups (cf. [5], Theorem 13.4). By weight spaces we mean eigenspaces for a fixed  $\mathbb{Z}$ -split torus T. The differentials in  $M_Y^{\bullet}$  being T-equivariant, the complex  $M_Y^{\bullet}$  is a direct sum of complexes of finitely generated free abelian groups. Since  $\mathrm{H}^i(M_Y^{\bullet})=\mathrm{H}^i_{Y_{\mathbb{Z}}}(X_{\mathbb{Z}},\mathcal{O}_{X_{\mathbb{Z}}})$ , one obtains the following lemma.

**Lemma 3.1.** Every local cohomology group  $H^i_{Y_{\mathbb{Z}}}(X_{\mathbb{Z}}, \mathcal{O}_{X_{\mathbb{Z}}})$  is a direct sum of finitely generated abelian groups. In the codimension c of  $Y_{\mathbb{Z}}$  in  $X_{\mathbb{Z}}$ ,  $H^c_{Y_{\mathbb{Z}}}(X_{\mathbb{Z}}, \mathcal{O}_{X_{\mathbb{Z}}})$  is a free abelian group.

#### 4. The counterexample

For a field k, let us set  $X_k = X_{\mathbb{Z}} \otimes k$  and  $Y_k = Y_{\mathbb{Z}} \otimes k$ . Then one has  $H^q_{Y_k}(X_k, \mathcal{O}_{X_k}) = H^q_{Y_{\mathbb{Z}}}(X_{\mathbb{Z}}, \mathcal{O}_{X_{\mathbb{Z}}} \otimes k)$ . Since  $\mathcal{O}_{X_{\mathbb{Z}}}$  is flat over  $\mathbb{Z}$ , one has a spectral sequence

$$\operatorname{Tor}_{-p}^{\mathbb{Z}}(\operatorname{H}_{Y_{\mathbb{Z}}}^{q}(X_{\mathbb{Z}}, \mathcal{O}_{X_{\mathbb{Z}}}), k) \Rightarrow \operatorname{H}_{Y_{k}}^{p+q}(X_{k}, \mathcal{O}_{X_{k}}).$$

This shows that the natural homomorphism

$$\mathrm{H}^{i}_{Y_{\mathbb{Z}}}(X_{\mathbb{Z}},\mathcal{O}_{X_{\mathbb{Z}}})\otimes k\longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^{i}_{Y_{k}}(X_{k},\mathcal{O}_{X_{k}})$$

is an injection, and it is an isomorphism if the field k is flat over  $\mathbb{Z}$ . In our example (cf. §1), one has

$$\mathrm{H}^3_{Y_{\mathbb{Z}}}(X_{\mathbb{Z}},\mathcal{O}_{X_{\mathbb{Z}}})\otimes\mathbb{C}\cong\mathrm{H}^3_{Y_{\mathbb{C}}}(X_{\mathbb{C}},\mathcal{O}_{X_{\mathbb{C}}})\neq0.$$

By Lemma 3.1, the cohomology  $H^3_{Y_{\mathbb{Z}}}(X_{\mathbb{Z}}, \mathcal{O}_{X_{\mathbb{Z}}})$  must contain  $\mathbb{Z}$  as a direct summand. Therefore the injection

$$\mathrm{H}^3_{Y_{\mathbb{Z}}}(X_{\mathbb{Z}},\mathcal{O}_{X_{\mathbb{Z}}})\otimes k\longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^3_{Y_k}(X_k,\mathcal{O}_{X_k})$$

shows that  $H_{Y_k}^3(X_k, \mathcal{O}_{X_k})$  is non-vanishing for any field k of positive characteristic. Since  $H_{Y_k}^3(X_k, \mathcal{O}_{X_k}) \cong H^1(X_k, \mathcal{H}_{Y_k}^2(\mathcal{O}_{X_k}))$ , one obtains the following result.

**Proposition 4.1.**  $H^1(X_k, \mathcal{H}^2_{Y_k}(\mathcal{O}_{X_k})) \neq 0$  if k is of positive characteristic

## 5. Proof of non-vanishing of $H_I^3(R)$

In this section, we shall give a topological proof of Proposition 2.1. We may assume that the base field is the complex number field  $\mathbb{C}$ .

The local cohomologies  $H_I^*(R)$  are the cohomology groups of the complex

$$R \longrightarrow R[f_1^{-1}] \oplus R[f_2^{-1}] \oplus R[f_3^{-1}]$$
  
 $\longrightarrow R[(f_1f_2)^{-1}] \oplus R[(f_2f_3)^{-1}] \oplus R[(f_1f_3)^{-1}] \longrightarrow R[(f_1f_2f_3)^{-1}].$ 

Hence one has

$$H_I^3(R) = \frac{R[(f_1 f_2 f_3)^{-1}]}{R[(f_1 f_2)^{-1}] + R[(f_2 f_3)^{-1}] + R[(f_1 f_3)^{-1}]}.$$

In order to prove the non-vanishing of  $H_I^3(R)$ , it is enough to show

(5.2) 
$$\frac{1}{f_1 f_2 f_3} \notin R[(f_1 f_2)^{-1}] + R[(f_2 f_3)^{-1}] + R[(f_1 f_3)^{-1}].$$

Consider the 6-cycle

$$\gamma = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} -t_2 u + t_3 \bar{v} & u & -t_1 \bar{v} \\ -t_2 v - t_3 \bar{u} & v & t_1 \bar{u} \end{pmatrix} \right.$$

$$; |t_1| = |t_2| = |t_3| = 1, |u|^2 + |v|^2 = 1 \right\}$$

$$= \left\{ k \begin{pmatrix} -t_2 & 1 & 0 \\ -t_3 & 0 & t_1 \end{pmatrix} \right.$$

$$; |t_1| = |t_2| = |t_3| = 1, k = \begin{pmatrix} u & -\bar{v} \\ v & \bar{u} \end{pmatrix} \in SU(2) \right\}$$

in  $X \setminus (f_1 f_2 f_3)^{-1}(0)$ , where  $X = \operatorname{Spec}(R) \cong \mathbb{C}^6$ . Then on  $\gamma$  one has

$$f_1 = t_1, \ f_2 = t_1 t_2 \text{ and } f_3 = t_3.$$

Set  $\omega = \bigwedge dX_{ij}$ . Then one has  $\omega = t_1 dt_1 dt_2 dt_3 \theta$  on  $\gamma$ , where  $\theta$  is a non-zero invariant form on SU(2). Therefore one has

$$\int_{\gamma} \frac{\omega}{f_1 f_2 f_3} = \int_{\gamma} \frac{dt_1 dt_2 dt_3 \theta}{t_1 t_2 t_3} \neq 0.$$

Hence, in order to show (5.2), it is enough to prove that

$$\int_{\gamma} \varphi \omega = 0$$

for any  $\varphi \in R[(f_1f_2)^{-1}] + R[(f_2f_3)^{-1}] + R[(f_1f_3)^{-1}]$ .

For  $\varphi \in R[(f_1f_2)^{-1}]$ , the equation (5.3) holds because we can shrink the cycle  $\gamma$  by  $|t_3| = \lambda$  from  $\lambda = 1$  to  $\lambda = 0$ . For  $\varphi \in R[(f_1f_3)^{-1}]$ , the equation (5.3) holds because we can shrink the cycle  $\gamma$  by  $|t_2| = \lambda$  from  $\lambda = 1$  to  $\lambda = 0$ .

Let us show (5.3) for  $\varphi \in R[(f_2f_3)^{-1}]$ . Let us deform the cycle  $\gamma$  by

$$\gamma_{\lambda} = \left\{ k \begin{pmatrix} -(1-\lambda)t_2 & 1 & -\lambda t_1 t_2 t_3^{-1} \\ -t_3 & 0 & t_1 \end{pmatrix} \right.$$

$$; |t_1| = |t_2| = |t_3| = 1, \ k \in SU(2) \right\}.$$

Note that the values of  $f_1$ ,  $f_2$  and  $f_3$  do not change under this deformation. Hence  $\gamma_{\lambda}$  is a cycle in  $X \setminus (f_1 f_2 f_3)^{-1}(0)$ . One has

$$\gamma_1 = \left\{ k \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & -t_1 t_2 t_3^{-1} \\ -t_3 & 0 & t_1 \end{pmatrix}; |t_1| = |t_2| = |t_3| = 1, k \in SU(2) \right\} \\
= \left\{ k \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & -t_2 \\ -t_3 & 0 & t_1 \end{pmatrix}; |t_1| = |t_2| = |t_3| = 1, k \in SU(2) \right\}.$$

In the last coordinates of  $\gamma_1$ , one has  $f_2 = t_2 t_3$  and  $f_3 = t_3$ . Hence, for  $\varphi \in R[(f_2 f_3)^{-1}]$ ,

$$\int_{\gamma} \varphi \omega = \int_{\gamma_1} \varphi \omega$$

vanishes because we can shrink the cycle  $\gamma_1$  by  $|t_1| = \lambda$  from  $\lambda = 1$  to  $\lambda = 0$ .

Remark. Although we do not give a proof here,  $H_I^3(R)$  is isomorphic to  $H_I^6(R)$  as a D-module. Here J is the defining ideal of the origin.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] A. Beilinson and J. Bernstein, Localisation de g-modules, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris 292(1981), 15–18.
- [2] C. Peskine and L. Szpiro, Dimension projective finie et cohomologie locale, *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.* **42** (1973), 47–119.
- [3] B. Haastert, Über Differentialoperatoren und D-Moduln in positiver Characteristik, Manus. Math. 58 (1987), 385–415.
- [4] C. Huneke and G. Lyubeznik, On the vanishing of local cohomology modules, *Invent. math.* **102** (1990), 73–95.
- [5] G. Kempf, The Grothendieck-Cousin complex of an induced representation, *Adv. Math.* **29** (1978), 310–396.

RESEARCH INSTITUTE FOR THE MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, KYOTO UNIVERSITY, KYOTO, JAPAN

E-mail address: masaki@kurims.kyoto-u.ac.jp

INSTITUT FOR MATEMATISKE FAG, AARHUS UNIVERSITET, ÅRHUS, DENMARK *E-mail address*: niels@imf.au.dk