UNIVERSITY OF AARHUS Department of Mathematics



ISSN: 1397-4076

LAURENT EXPANSIONS FOR VERTEX OPERATORS

By Wojtek Slowikowski

Preprint Series No.: 10

Ny Munkegade, Bldg. 530 DK-8000 Aarhus C, Denmark October 2003

http://www.imf.au.dk institut@imf.au.dk

Laurent Expansions for Vertex Operators

Wojtek Slowikowski

Department of Mathematics, Aarhus University, Denmark

1 Introduction

To make this note accessible to a broad spectrum of readers, we briefly recall the necessary analytic and algebraic background for the concept of vertex operators (cf. [3], Chapter 14).

Let $\Gamma_0 \mathcal{D}$ be the algebra of all polynomials of finitely many variables ξ_j from the set $\{\xi_1, \xi_2, ..., \xi_n, ...\}$ and \mathcal{D} the space of all linear forms belonging to $\Gamma_0 \mathcal{D}$. Finally, let $\widetilde{\Gamma}\mathcal{D} \supset \Gamma_0 \mathcal{D}$ be the algebraic adjoint of $\Gamma_0 \mathcal{D}$ identified with the algebra of all formal series of variables ξ_j . An operator which is the sum of compositions of operators of multiplication by variables ξ_j composed with derivations $\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_k}$ is said to be of *normal* form if the operators of multiplication always precede the operators of derivation. $\Gamma_0 \mathcal{D}$ is provided with a scalar product \langle, \rangle which makes $\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_k}$ the adjoint to the operator of multiplication by ξ_k . k = 1, 2, ... respectively.

Select two sequences of complex numbers $\{t_n\}$ and $\{s_n\}$. Operators of the form

$$e^{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} t_n z^n \xi_n} e^{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} s_n z^{-n} \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_n}}.$$

where $z \in \mathbb{C}$, acting on $\Gamma_0 \mathcal{D}$, are called *vertex operators*. The operators \mathcal{S}_m of the expansion

$$e^{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} t_n z^n \xi_n} e^{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} s_n z^{-n} \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_n}} = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{S}_n z^n \tag{1}$$

are called Schur polynomials. In [3], chapter 14, there are produced recursive formulas for S_m and it is shown that for $s_n = \frac{1}{n}$ and $t_n = 1$, the operators S_m , $m \ge 1$, anticommute, i.e. represent fermions. More details concerning vertex operators and vertex operators algebras can found in the book [1] and in several papers, in particular [2].

In this paper we provide an explicit formula for S_m in their normal form, for any given vertex operator written in the mathematical frame of a general Bose algebra (recall that all Bose algebras with infinite dimensional separable one-particle space are all canonically isomorphic cf.[4]).

2 Preliminaries

Let $\Gamma_0 \mathcal{D}$ be a Bose algebra (cf. [4]) i.e. a commutative graded algebra generated by a pre-Hilbert space $\mathcal{D}, \langle , \rangle$ (the so-called one-particle space) and the unity ϕ (the vacuum) provided with the extension \langle , \rangle of the scalar product of \mathcal{D} making ϕ a unit vector and fulfilling the property that for every $x \in \mathcal{D}$, the adjoint x^* to the operator of multiplication by x is defined on the whole $\Gamma_0 \mathcal{D}$ and constitutes a derivation (i.e. fulfils the Leibniz rule). We make the space $\widetilde{\Gamma}\mathcal{D}$ of all antilinear functionals on $\Gamma_0\mathcal{D}$ the extension of $\Gamma_0\mathcal{D}$ by identifying $f \in \Gamma_0\mathcal{D}$ with the antilinear functional $\langle \cdot, f \rangle$. The space $\widetilde{\Gamma}\mathcal{D}$ can be naturally made into an algebra containing $\Gamma_0\mathcal{D}$ as a subalgebra. We consider $\widetilde{\Gamma}\mathcal{D}$ as a locally convex space with the weak topology $\sigma(\widetilde{\Gamma}\mathcal{D}, \Gamma_0\mathcal{D})$. The weak closure $\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}$ of \mathcal{D} is a subspace of $\widetilde{\Gamma}\mathcal{D}$. It is easy to show that $\Gamma_0\mathcal{D}, \langle , \rangle$ admits the completion $\Gamma\overline{\mathcal{D}}$ within $\widetilde{\Gamma}\mathcal{D}$.

We shall use the exponentials of elements $w \in \mathcal{D}$,

$$e^w = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} w^n \in \Gamma \overline{\mathcal{D}},$$

which are called *coherent vectors*. In [4] the following relations are verified:

$$\langle a, b \rangle^j = \frac{1}{j!} \left\langle a^j, b^j \right\rangle \tag{2}$$

$$(x^n)^* e^w = \langle x, w \rangle^n e^w \tag{3}$$

$$\langle e^u, fg \rangle = \langle e^u, f \rangle \langle e^u, g \rangle$$
 (4)

$$e^{\mathbf{a}(w)}e^{v} = e^{\langle w,v\rangle}e^{v}.$$
(5)

Also a proof that the set $\{e^x : x \in \mathcal{D}\}$ of coherent vectors is total in $\Gamma \overline{\mathcal{D}}$ can be found in [4].

3 The Laurent Expansion for a Vertex Operator

Let \mathcal{D} be spanned by an orthonormal system $\{f_n\}$ and by an orthonormal system $\{g_n\}$ as well. The operator valued functions of z

$$V(z) = e^{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} z^n f_n} e^{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} z^{-n} g_n^*} : \Gamma_0 \mathcal{D} \to \widetilde{\Gamma} \mathcal{D},$$

shall be called a *vertex operator*.

Write $(\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{q})$ for tuples of non-negative integers

$$(\mathfrak{p},\mathfrak{q}) = (p_1,q_1,p_2,q_2,\ldots,p_k,q_k,\ldots)$$

and define

$$\mathfrak{N}_m = \left\{ \, (\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{q}) : \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(p_k + q_k \right) = m \right\}$$

and

$$\mathfrak{N}^w = \bigg\{ \left(\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{q}\right) : \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(p_k + q_k\right) < \infty, \ \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} j\left(p_j - q_j\right) = w \bigg\}.$$

For $\mathfrak{s} = (s_1, s_2, ...)$, write

$$\mathfrak{s}! = \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} s_k!.$$

Our main result is the following

Theorem. Vertex operators admit the weak evaluation on $\Gamma_0 \mathcal{D}$ and the weak convergent Laurent expansion

$$V(z) = e^{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} z^{n} f_{n}} e^{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} z^{-n} g_{n}^{*}} = \sum_{w \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{S}_{w} \{f_{n}, g_{n}^{*}\} z^{w}$$

with coefficients

$$\mathcal{S}_{w}\left\{f_{n},g_{n}^{*}\right\} = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty}\sum_{(\mathfrak{p},\mathfrak{q})\in\mathfrak{N}_{m}\cap\mathfrak{N}^{w}}\frac{1}{\mathfrak{p}!\mathfrak{q}!}\left(\prod_{k=1}^{\infty}f_{k}^{p_{k}}\right)\left(\prod_{k=1}^{\infty}g_{k}^{q_{k}}\right)^{*}$$

called the Schur polynomials (cf.[3]).

To prove the Theorem we shall need the following

Lemma. Take any pair of elements $u, v \in \mathcal{D}$. Then the element $V(z) e^u$ is well defined in $\widetilde{\Gamma}\mathcal{D}$ and we have

$$\langle e^{u}, V(z) e^{v} \rangle = \left\langle e^{u}, \left(\sum_{w \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{S}_{w} \left\{ f_{n}, g_{n}^{*} \right\} z^{w} \right) e^{v} \right\rangle,$$
 (6)

where

$$\mathcal{S}_{w}\left\{f_{n},g_{n}^{*}\right\} = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{(\mathfrak{p},\mathfrak{q})\in\mathfrak{N}_{m}\cap\mathfrak{N}^{w}} \frac{1}{\mathfrak{p}!\mathfrak{q}!} \left(\prod_{k=1}^{\infty} f_{k}^{p_{k}}\right) \left(\prod_{k=1}^{\infty} g_{k}^{q_{k}}\right)^{*}$$

Proof. Take $u, v \in \mathcal{D}$. Since by (5)

$$\left\langle e^{u}, e^{\mathbf{a}^{+}(x)}e^{\mathbf{a}(y)}e^{v} \right\rangle = e^{\langle u, v \rangle}e^{\langle u, x \rangle + \langle y, v \rangle}$$

we obtain

$$\langle e^{u}, V(z) e^{v} \rangle = e^{\langle u, v \rangle} e^{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\langle f_{n, u} \rangle z^{n} + \langle v, g_{n} \rangle z^{-n} \right)}$$

Since u and v are linear combinations of f_k and g_k respectively, $\langle f_{n,i}, u \rangle z^n = \langle v, g_n \rangle z^{-n} = 0$ for large n. Due to (3) we get

$$\left\langle e^{u}, \left(\prod_{k=1}^{\infty} f_{k}^{p_{k}}\right) \left(\prod_{k=1}^{\infty} g_{k}^{q_{k}}\right)^{*} e^{v} \right\rangle$$
$$= \left\langle \left(\prod_{k=1}^{\infty} f_{k}^{p_{k}}\right)^{*} e^{u}, \left(\prod_{k=1}^{\infty} g_{k}^{q_{k}}\right)^{*} e^{v} \right\rangle = \left(\prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \langle f_{k}, u \rangle^{p_{k}} \langle v, g_{k} \rangle^{q_{k}} \right) e^{\langle u, v \rangle},$$

where all the products are finite and they are non-zero only when p_k and q_k are zeros for f_k and g_k orthogonal to v and u respectively. Consequently

$$\begin{split} &\frac{1}{m!} \bigg(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left\langle f_{n,}, u \right\rangle z^{n} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left\langle v, g_{n} \right\rangle z^{-n} \bigg)^{m} \\ &= \sum_{(\mathfrak{p},\mathfrak{q}) \in \mathfrak{N}_{m}} \frac{1}{\mathfrak{p}!\mathfrak{q}!} \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\left\langle f_{k}, u \right\rangle^{p_{k}} \left\langle v, g_{k} \right\rangle^{q_{k}} z^{k(p_{k}-q_{k})} \right) \\ &= \sum_{w \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{(\mathfrak{p},\mathfrak{q}) \in \mathfrak{N}^{w} \cap \mathfrak{N}_{m}} \frac{1}{\mathfrak{p}!\mathfrak{q}!} \left(\prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \left\langle f_{k}, u \right\rangle^{p_{k}} \left\langle v, g_{k} \right\rangle^{q_{k}} \right) z^{w} \\ &\left\langle e^{u}, \sum_{w \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(\sum_{(\mathfrak{p},\mathfrak{q}) \in \mathfrak{N}^{w} \cap \mathfrak{N}_{m}} \frac{1}{\mathfrak{p}!\mathfrak{q}!} \left(\prod_{k=1}^{\infty} f_{k}^{p_{k}} \right) \left(\prod_{k=1}^{\infty} g_{k}^{q_{k}} \right)^{*} \right) z^{w} e^{v} \right\rangle e^{-\langle u, v \rangle}. \end{split}$$

Consequently

$$\begin{split} &\frac{1}{m!} \bigg(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left\langle f_{n,}, u \right\rangle z^{n} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left\langle v, g_{n} \right\rangle z^{-n} \bigg)^{m} \\ &= \left\langle e^{u}, \sum_{w \in \mathbb{Z}} \bigg(\sum_{(\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{q}) \in \mathfrak{N}_{m} \cap \mathfrak{N}^{w}} \frac{1}{\mathfrak{p}! \mathfrak{q}!} \bigg(\prod_{k=1}^{\infty} f_{k}^{p_{k}} \bigg) \bigg(\prod_{k=1}^{\infty} g_{k}^{q_{k}} \bigg)^{*} \bigg) z^{w} e^{v} \right\rangle e^{-\langle u, v \rangle}, \end{split}$$

and finally

$$\langle e^{u}, V(z) e^{v} \rangle = e^{\langle u, v \rangle} e^{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\langle f_{n, u} \rangle z^{n} + \langle v, g_{n} \rangle z^{-n} \right) }$$

$$= \left\langle e^{u}, \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{w \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(\sum_{(\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{q}) \in \mathfrak{N}_{m, w}} \frac{1}{\mathfrak{p}! \mathfrak{q}!} \left(\prod_{k=1}^{\infty} f_{k}^{p_{k}} \right) \left(\prod_{k=1}^{\infty} g_{k}^{q_{k}} \right)^{*} \right) z^{w} e^{v} \right\rangle$$

which concludes the proof of the Lemma.

Proof of the Theorem

Since $\Gamma_0 \mathcal{D}$ is the linear span of the set $\{x^k : x \in \mathcal{D}, k = 1, 2, ..\}$ ([4]), it is sufficient to show that for any $u, v \in \mathcal{D}$ and any natural numbers k, j we have

$$\left\langle u^{k}, V\left(z\right)v^{j}\right\rangle = \left\langle u^{k}, \left(\sum_{w\in\mathbb{Z}}\mathcal{S}_{w}\left\{f_{n}, g_{n}^{*}\right\}z^{w}\right)v^{j}\right\rangle$$

which follows by differentiating respectively k and j times at 0 the variables t and s of the identity 6 with tu and sv substituted for u and v.

References

- [1] Frenkel, I., Lepowsky, J., Meurman, A., Vertex operator algebras and the Monster, Academic Press (1988).
- [2] Jing, N., Vertex Operators, Symmetric Functions and the Spin Group Γ_n , Journal of Algebra 138 (1991)340-398.
- [3] Kac, V.G., Infinite Dimensional Lie Algebras, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge New York (1995).
- [4] Nielsen, T.T., *The Complex and Real Wave Representations*, Lecture Notes in Math. 1472, Springer 1991.