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#### Abstract

By a case-free approach we give a precise description of the closure of Steinberg fibers within the wonderful compactification of a not necessarily connected semisimple algebraic group. For connected groups this description was earlier obtained by the first author.


## 1. Introduction

Let $G$ be a connected, simple algebraic group over an algebraically closed field $k$. Let $T$ denote a maximal torus of $G$ and let $W$ denote the associated Weyl group. Fix a set of simple reflection $s_{i}, i \in I$, in $W$. For each subset $J \subset I$ we let $W_{J}$ denote the subgroup of $W$ generated by $s_{i}$ for $i \in J$, and $W^{J}$ be the set of minimal length coset representatives of $W / W_{J}$.

The wonderful compactification $X$ of $G$ (see e.g. [DP], [Str]), is a smooth projective $(G \times G)$-variety containing $G$ as an open subset. The $G \times G$-orbits $Z_{J}$ of $X$ are indexed by the subsets $J$ of $I$. We fix certain base points $h_{J}$ of $Z_{J}$ (see 1.2 for the precise definition of $h_{J}$ ) and define for each $w \in W^{J}$ a subset $Z_{J}^{w}=\operatorname{diag}(G)(B w, 1) h_{J}$ of $Z_{J}$, where $\operatorname{diag}(G)$ denotes the diagonal in $G \times G$. Then $Z_{J}^{w}$ is a locally closed subvariety of $X$ and $X=\bigsqcup_{J \subset I, w \in W^{J}} Z_{J}^{w}$ (see [L3]). The subset $Z_{J}^{w}$ of $X$ is called a $G$-stable piece.

The $G$-stable pieces were first introduced by Lusztig to study the $G$-orbits and parabolic character sheaves. However, his original definition was based on some inductive method. The (equivalent) definition that we used above was due to the first author in [H1]. What we need in this paper is that the dimension of $Z_{J}^{w}$ is equal to $\operatorname{dim}(G)-l(w)-|I-J|$, where $l(w)$ is the length of $w$ and $|I-J|$ is the cardinality of the set $I-J$. More properties about the $G$-stable pieces can be found in [L3] and [H2]. The $G$-stable pieces were also used by Evens and Lu in [EL] to study the Poisson structure and symplectic leaves.

Let $F$ be a Steinberg fiber in $G$, i.e. the set of elements whose semisimple part lies in a fixed conjugacy class. Some examples are the unipotent variety and the regular semisimple conjugacy classes. It is of some interest to study the closure of $F$ in $X$.
In [L3], Lusztig gave an explicit description for the closure of the unipotent variety in the group compactification when $G=P G L_{2}$ or $P G L_{3}$. In [Spr2], Springer studied the closure of arbitrary Steinberg fiber for any connected, simple algebraic group and obtained some partial results. Based on their result, the first author got an explicit description of the closure using the $G$-stable pieces in [H1]. For $w \in W$ let $\operatorname{supp}(\mathrm{w})$ denote the minimal subset of $I$ such that $w$ is contained in $W_{J}$. The precise statement of [H1] is as follows.

[^0]Theorem. Let $F$ be a Steinberg fibers of $G$ and $\bar{F}$ be its closure in $X$. Then

$$
\bar{F}-F=\bigsqcup_{\substack{J \subset I \\ \operatorname{supp}(w)=I}} \bigsqcup_{\substack{w \in W^{J} \\ J}} Z_{J}^{w}
$$

As a consequence, the boundary of the closure is independent of the choice of the Steinberg fibers. More generally, the second author has proved in $[\mathrm{T}]$ that the boundary of the closure of $F$ within any equivariant embedding of $G$ is independent of the choice of $F$.

The proof in [H1] was based on a case-by-case checking. The main purpose of this paper is to generalize the result to the disconnected group case with a more conceptual (and easier) proof. We will also prove some properties about the "nilpotent cone" of $X$.

We thank Lusztig and Springer for some useful discussions and comments. We also thank Jantzen for pointing out the results by Mohrdieck.

## 2. Wonderful compactifications and $G$-stable pieces

2.1. Let $G$ denote a connected semisimple linear algebraic group of adjoint type over an algebraically closed field $k$. Let $B$ be a Borel subgroup of $G, B^{-}$be the opposite Borel subgroup and $T=B \cap B^{-}$. Let $R$ denote the set of roots defined by $T$ and let $R^{+}$denote the set of positive roots defined by $B$. Let $\left(\alpha_{i}\right)_{i \in I}$ be the set of simple roots. For $i \in I$, we denote by $\omega_{i}$ and $s_{i}$ the fundamental weight and the simple reflection corresponding to $\alpha_{i}$.

We denote by $W$ the Weyl group associated to $T$. For any subset $J$ of $I$, let $W_{J}$ be the subgroup of $W$ generated by $\left\{s_{j} \mid j \in J\right\}$ and $W^{J}$ be the set of minimal length coset representatives of $W / W_{J}$.

For $J \subset I$, let $P_{J} \supset B$ be the standard parabolic subgroup defined by $J$ and $P_{J}^{-} \supset B^{-}$be the opposite of $P_{J}$. Set $L_{J}=P_{J} \cap P_{J}^{-}$. Then $L_{J}$ is a Levi subgroup of $P_{J}$ and $P_{J}^{-}$. The semisimple quotient of $L_{J}$ of adjoint type will be denoted by $G_{J}$. We denote by $\pi_{P_{J}}\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.\pi_{P_{J}^{-}}\right)$the projection of $P_{J}\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.P_{J}^{-}\right)$onto $G_{J}$.
2.2. Assume that $G$ is of adjoint type and let $X$ denote the wonderful compactification of $G$. It is known that $X$ is an irreducible, smooth projective $(G \times G)$-variety with finitely many $G \times G$-orbits $Z_{J}$ indexed by the subsets $J$ of $I$. As a $(G \times G)$ variety the orbit $Z_{J}$ is uniquely isomorphic to $(G \times G) \times_{P_{J}^{-} \times P_{J}} G_{J}$, where $P_{J}^{-} \times P_{J}$ acts on the right on $G \times G$ and on the left on $G_{J}$ by $(q, p) \cdot z=\pi_{P_{J}^{-}}(q) z \pi_{P_{J}}(p)^{-1}$. Let $h_{J}$ be the image of $(1,1,1)$ in $Z_{J}$ under this isomorphism.

We denote by $\operatorname{diag}(G)$ the image of the diagonal embedding of $G$ in $G \times G$. For $J \subset I$ and $w \in W^{J}$, set $Z_{J}^{w}=\operatorname{diag}(G)(B w, 1) h_{J}$. Then $Z_{J}^{w}$ is a locally closed subvariety of $X$ and $X=\bigsqcup_{J \subset I, w \in W^{J}} Z_{J}^{w}$ (see [L3]). We call $Z_{J}^{w}$ a $G$-stable piece.

## 3. Preliminaries on disconnected groups

In this section $G$ denotes a connected semisimple linear algebraic group over an algebraically closed field $k$. We assume furthermore that $G$ is either simply connected or of adjoint type.
3.1. Let $\hat{G}$ be a possibly disconnected linear algebraic group with identity component $G$. An element $g \in G^{1}$ is called quasi-semisimple if $g$ normalizes a Borel subgroup of $G$ and a maximal torus contained in the Borel subgroup (see [Ste2, 9]). We have the following properties.
(a) If $g$ is semisimple, then it is quasi-semisimple. See [Ste2, 7.5, 7.6].
(b) Let $g \in G^{1}$ be a quasi-semisimple element and $T_{1}$ be a maximal torus of $Z_{G}(g)^{0}$, where $Z_{G}(g)^{0}$ is the identity component of $\{x \in G \mid x g=g x\}$. Then any quasi-semisimple element in $g G$ is $G$-conjugate to some element of $g T_{1}$. See [L1, 1.14].
(c) $g$ is quasi-semisimple if and only if the $G$-conjugacy class of $g$ is closed in $G^{1}$. The if-part was due to [Spa, 1.15(f)], the only-if-part was due to Lusztig in an unpublished note (see [H1, 4.1]).
3.2. Let $G^{1}$ be a connected component of $\hat{G}$. By the conjugacy of Borel subgroups and maximal tori we may find an element $g_{0} \in G^{1}$ such that ${ }^{g_{0}} B=B$ and ${ }^{g_{0}} T=$ $T$. Let $\delta$ be the automorphism of $G$ given by conjugation with $g_{0}$. The induced automorphism of $T$ is then independent of the choice of $g_{0}$. Consequently, also the induced automorphism of the weight lattice $\Lambda(R)$ of the root system $R$ is independent of the choice of $g_{0}$. By abuse of notation we denote the latter automorphism by $\delta$. Then $R, R^{+}$and the set of simple roots $\left(\alpha_{i}\right)_{i \in I}$ are all invariant under $\delta$. Thus $\delta$ generates a finite group of permutations of $R$ and $I$ and orbits under this action will be called $\delta$-orbits.

For each simple root $\alpha$ choose an associated root homomorphism $x_{\alpha}: k \rightarrow G$. By substituting $g_{0}$ with $g_{0} t$, for some $t \in T$, we may obtain that $g_{0}$ satisfies the relation $g_{0} x_{\alpha}(z) g_{0}^{-1}=x_{\delta(\alpha)}(z)$ for all simple roots $\alpha$ and $z \in k$. In the following we will assume that $x_{\alpha}$ and $g_{0}$ has been fixed in this way. In particular, the order of $\delta$ regarded as an automorphism of $G$ coincides with the order of $\delta$ regarded as a permutation of $I$.

Note that if $G^{1}=G$, then $\delta$ acts as the identity map on the weight lattice $\Lambda(R)$ and thus also on $R$ and $I$.
3.3. Let $T^{\delta}$ be the set of fixed points of the map $\delta: T \rightarrow T$. It is easily seen that $T^{\delta}$ is a torus of rank equal to the number $l$ of $\delta$-orbits in $I$. Moreover, $T^{\delta}$ is easily seen to contain regular semisimple elements and consequently $Z_{G}\left(T^{\delta}\right)=T$. Thus any maximal torus of $Z_{G}\left(g_{0}\right)^{0}$ containing $T^{\delta}$ is contained in $T \cap Z_{G}\left(g_{0}\right)=T^{\delta}$. Therefore $T^{\delta}$ is a maximal torus of $Z_{G}\left(g_{0}\right)^{0}$. By 3.1(b), any quasi-semisimple element in $G^{1}$ is $G$-conjugate to some element in $T^{\delta} g_{0}$.

Let $\operatorname{Spec}\left(k\left[G^{1}\right]^{G}\right)=G^{1} / / G$ be the quotient of $G^{1}$ by the group $G$ acting by conjugation. By invariant theory we may identify $G^{1} / / G$ with the set of closed $G$-orbits within $G^{1}$. Furthermore, by $3.1(\mathrm{c})$ we may identify the latter set with the set of conjugacy classes of quasi-semisimple elements. The quotient morphism St : $G^{1} \rightarrow G^{1} / / G$ then sends $g \in G^{1}$ to the unique $G$-conjugacy class of a quasisemisimple element contained in the closure of the $G$-conjugacy class of $g$. If $G^{1}=G$, then St is just the Steinberg morphism of $G$. Hence for arbitrary $G^{1}$, we call St the Steinberg morphism of $G^{1}$ and the fibers the Steinberg fibers of $G^{1}$.

To each $g \in G^{1}$ we may consider the automorphism of $G$ induced by conjugation with $g$. By [Ste2, 7.2] this automorphism fixes some Borel subgroup of $G$ and hence $g$ is $G$-conjugate to some element of $G^{1}$ fixing $B$. In particular, $g$ is $G$-conjugate to an element of the form $b g_{0}$ for some $b \in B$. Write $b=t u$ where $t \in T$ and $u$ is
an element of the unipotent radical $U$ of $B$. It is then easily seen that there exists an element $t_{1} \in T$, such that $t_{1} t g_{0} t_{1}^{-1} \in T^{\delta} g_{0}$. Hence, $g$ is $G$-conjugate to some element in $T^{\delta} U g_{0}$, i.e. we may assume that $t \in T^{\delta}$. Notice now that the quasisemisimple element $t g_{0}$ is contained in the closure of the $G$-conjugacy class of $t u g_{0}$. In particular, the the image $\operatorname{St}(g)=\operatorname{St}\left(t u g_{0}\right)$ of the Steinberg morphism at $g$ is the $G$-conjugacy class of $t g_{0}$. We conclude that any Steinberg fiber of $G^{1}$ is of the form $\cup_{g \in G} g\left(t U g_{0}\right) g^{-1}$ for some $t \in T^{\delta}$. In particular, any Steinberg fiber is irreducible.
3.4. From now on assume that $G$ is a simple linear algebraic group (of adjoint type) and that $\hat{G}$ and $G^{1}$ has been fixed as above. The wonderful compactification $X_{\delta}$ of $G^{1}$ is the $(G \times G)$-variety which as a variety is isomorphic to the wonderful compactification $X$ of $G$ and where the $G \times G$-action is twisted by the morphism $G \times G \rightarrow G \times G,(g, h) \mapsto(g, \delta(h))$ for $g, h \in G$. The $G \times G$-orbits in $X_{\delta}$ then coincide with the associated orbits in $X$ and we let $Z_{J, \delta}$ denote the orbit coinciding with $Z_{\delta(J)}$. Accordingly we let $h_{J, \delta}$ denote the point in $Z_{J, \delta}$ identified with the base point $h_{\delta(J)}$ of $Z_{\delta(J)}$. We consider $G^{1}=G g_{0}$ as an open subset of $X_{\delta}$ by identifying $g g_{0}, g \in G$, with $(g, 1) h_{I, \delta}$. For $J \subset I$ and $w \in W^{\delta(J)}$, set $Z_{J, \delta}^{w}=\operatorname{diag}(G)(B w, 1) h_{J, \delta}$. Then

$$
X_{\delta}=\bigsqcup_{J \subset I} \bigsqcup_{w \in W^{\delta(J)}} Z_{J, \delta}^{w} .
$$

We call $\left(Z_{J, \delta}^{w}\right)_{J \subset I, w \in W^{\delta(J)}}$ the $G$-stable pieces of $X_{\delta}$. More details can be found in [H2, 2.4].
3.5. Let $G_{\text {sc }}$ be the connected, simply connected group associated to $G$. Denote by $\Lambda_{+}^{\delta}$ the $\delta$-stable dominant weights of $G_{\text {sc }}$. Let $\mathcal{C}_{1}, \mathcal{C}_{2}, \ldots, \mathcal{C}_{l}$ be the $\delta$-orbits on $I$. Set $\omega_{\mathcal{C}_{k}}=\sum_{i \in \mathcal{C}_{k}} \omega_{i}$, where $\omega_{i}$ is the fundamental weight of $G_{\text {sc }}$ associated to $i \in I$. For any dominant weight $\lambda$ with $\lambda=\sum_{i \in I} a_{i} \omega_{i}$, set $I(\lambda)=\left\{i \in I \mid a_{i} \neq 0\right\}$. For $w \in W$, let $\operatorname{supp}(w)$ be the set of $i \in I$ such that $w \omega_{i} \neq \omega_{i}$ and let $\operatorname{supp}_{\delta}(w)=$ $\cup_{k \geqslant 0} \delta^{k}(\operatorname{supp}(w))$. Notice that when $\lambda \in \Lambda_{+}$is a dominant weight and $w \in W$ then $w \lambda \neq \lambda$ if and only if $I(\lambda) \cap \operatorname{supp}(w) \neq \varnothing$. The following characterization of $\operatorname{supp}(w)$ is also useful.

Lemma 3.6. Let $w \in W$ and $i \in I$. Fix a reduced expression $w=s_{i_{1}} \ldots . s_{i_{n}}$ of $w$ as a product of simple reflections. Then $i=i_{j}$ for some $j$ if and only if $i \in \operatorname{supp}(w)$.

Proof. The only-if-part is clear. Consider the if-part. If $i_{n} \neq i$, then we are done by induction in $n$. Hence, we may assume that $i_{n}=i$. But then $w \alpha_{i}$ is a negative root. Thus $1=\left\langle\omega_{i}, \alpha_{i}^{\vee}\right\rangle=\left\langle w \omega_{i},\left(w \alpha_{i}\right)^{\vee}\right\rangle$ and, in particular, we cannot have $w \omega_{i}=\omega_{i}$.
3.7. By [Ste2, 9.16] the automorphism $\delta$ of $G$ may be lifted to an automorphism of $G_{\text {sc }}$ which we denote by $\sigma$. For any dominant weight $\lambda \in \Lambda_{+}$let $\mathrm{H}(\lambda)$ denote the dual Weyl module for $G_{\text {sc }}$ with lowest weight $-\lambda$. We then define ${ }^{\delta} \mathrm{H}(\lambda)$ to be the $G_{\mathrm{sc}}$-module which as a vector space is $\mathrm{H}(\lambda)$ and with $G_{\mathrm{sc}}$-action twisted by the automorphism $\sigma$ of $G_{\mathrm{sc}}$. Notice that up to a nonzero constant there exists a unique $G_{\text {sc }}$-isomorphism ${ }^{\delta} \mathrm{H}(\lambda) \simeq \mathrm{H}(\delta(\lambda))$. In particular, when $\lambda \in \Lambda_{+}^{\delta}$ is $\delta$-invariant there exists a $G_{\text {sc }}$-equivariant isomorphism $f_{\lambda}: \mathrm{H}(\lambda) \rightarrow{ }^{\delta} \mathrm{H}(\lambda)$. Now fix such an $f_{\lambda}$ for the rest of the paper.

## 4. The "nilpotent cone" of $X$

4.1. For any dominant weight $\lambda$ there exists (see [DS]) a $G \times G$-equivariant morphism

$$
\rho_{\lambda}: X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(\operatorname{End}(\mathrm{H}(\lambda)))
$$

which extends the morphism $G \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(\operatorname{End}(\mathrm{H}(\lambda)))$ defined by $g \mapsto g\left[\mathrm{Id}_{\lambda}\right]$, where $g$ acts by the left action and where $\left[\operatorname{Id}_{\lambda}\right]$ denotes the class representing the identity map on $\mathrm{H}(\lambda)$. By the definition of $X_{\delta}$ we obtain a $G \times G$-equivariant morphism

$$
X_{\delta} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}\left(\operatorname{Hom}_{k}\left({ }^{\delta} \mathrm{H}(\lambda), \mathrm{H}(\lambda)\right)\right) .
$$

Applying $f_{\lambda}$, for $\lambda \in \Lambda_{+}^{\delta}$, this induces a map $\rho_{\lambda, \delta}: X_{\delta} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(\operatorname{End}(H(\lambda)))$.
4.2. An element in $\mathbb{P}(\operatorname{End}(H(\lambda)))$ is said to be nilpotent if it may be represented by a nilpotent endomorphism of $\mathrm{H}(\lambda)$. For $\lambda \in \Lambda_{+}^{\delta}$ we let

$$
\mathcal{N}(\lambda)_{\delta}=\left\{z \in X_{\delta} \mid \rho_{\lambda, \delta}(z) \text { is nilpotent }\right\}
$$

and call $\mathcal{N}(\lambda)_{\delta}$ the nilpotent cone of $X_{\delta}$ associated to the dominant weight $\lambda$. In 4.4, we will give an explicit description of $\mathcal{N}(\lambda)_{\delta}$.
4.3. Define ht to be the height map on the root lattice, i.e., the linear map on the root lattice which maps all the simple roots to 1 .

Now assume that $\lambda \in \Lambda_{+}$. Choose a basis $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{m}$ for $\mathrm{H}(\lambda)$ consisting of $T$ eigenvectors with eigenvalues $\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{m}$ and satisfying $\operatorname{ht}\left(\lambda_{j}+\lambda\right) \geq \operatorname{ht}\left(\lambda_{i}+\lambda\right)$ whenever $j \leq i$. Then B is upper triangular with respect to this basis.

Let $A_{J}$ be a representative of $\rho_{\lambda}\left(h_{J}\right)$ in $\operatorname{End}(\mathrm{H}(\lambda))$. Then when $\lambda_{j}+\lambda$ is a linear combination of the simple roots in J we have that $A_{J} v_{j} \in k^{\times} v_{j}$. If $\lambda_{j}+\lambda$ is not a linear combination of the simple roots in J then $A_{J} v_{j}=0$. Assuming that $\lambda$ is $\delta$-invariant we obtain, by the definitions in 4.1, a similar description for a representative $A_{J, \delta}$ of $\rho_{\lambda, \delta}\left(h_{J, \delta}\right)$ : if $\lambda_{j}+\lambda$ is a linear combination of the simple roots in J then we have that $A_{J, \delta} v_{j} \in k^{\times} f_{\lambda}\left(v_{j}\right)$; otherwise $A_{J, \delta} v_{j}=0$. Notice that we regard $f_{\lambda}\left(v_{j}\right)$ as an element of $\mathrm{H}(\lambda)$ and as such $f_{\lambda}\left(v_{j}\right)$ is a $T$-eigenvector of weight $\delta\left(\lambda_{j}\right)$.

We now obtain.
Proposition 4.4. Let $\lambda \in \Lambda_{+}^{\delta}$, then

$$
\mathcal{N}(\lambda)_{\delta}=\bigsqcup_{J \subset I} \bigsqcup_{\substack{w \in W^{\delta(J)} \\ I(\lambda) \cap \operatorname{supp}(w) \neq \varnothing}} Z_{J, \delta}^{w} .
$$

Proof. Let $w \in W^{\delta(J)}$. Assume that $w \lambda \neq \lambda$. Note that if $x$ is a linear combination of the simple roots in $J$ with nonnegative coefficients, then $\operatorname{ht}(w \delta(x)) \geqslant \mathrm{ht}(x)$. Hence, $\operatorname{ht}(w \delta(-\lambda+x)+\lambda)=\operatorname{ht}(w \delta(x))+\operatorname{ht}(-w \lambda+\lambda)>\operatorname{ht}(x)$. Therefore, $(w, 1) h_{J, \delta}$ is represented by a strictly upper triangular matrix with respect to the chosen basis in 4.3 above. As a consequence for any $b \in B,(b w, 1) h_{J, \delta}$ is also represented by a strictly upper triangular matrix. So $(B w, 1) h_{J, \delta} \subset \mathcal{N}(\lambda)_{\delta}$. Since $\mathcal{N}(\lambda)_{\delta}$ is $G$-stable, then $Z_{J, \delta}^{w}=\operatorname{diag}(G)(B w, 1) h_{J, \delta} \subset \mathcal{N}(\lambda)_{\delta}$.

Now assume that $w \lambda=\lambda$. Let $b \in B$ and $z=(b w, 1) h_{J, \delta}$. Denote by $A$ a representative of $\rho_{\lambda, \delta}(z)$ in $\operatorname{End}(\mathrm{H}(\lambda))$. Let $V$ be the subspace of $\mathrm{H}(\lambda)$ spanned by $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{m-1}$. Then $A v_{m} \in k^{\times} v_{m}+V$ and $A V \subset V$. Hence, $A^{n} v_{m} \neq 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus $z \notin \mathcal{N}(\lambda)_{\delta}$.

Corollary 4.5. Let $\lambda, \mu \in \Lambda_{+}^{\delta}$, then

$$
\mathcal{N}(\lambda+\mu)_{\delta}=\mathcal{N}(\lambda)_{\delta} \cup \mathcal{N}(\mu)_{\delta}
$$

Proof. This follows from the relation $I(\lambda+\mu)=I(\lambda) \cup I(\mu)$.

## 5. A COMPACTIFICATION OF SIMPLY CONNECTED GROUP

5.1. Consider the morphism $\psi_{i}: G_{\text {sc }} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}\left(\operatorname{End}\left(H\left(\omega_{i}\right)\right) \oplus k\right)$ defined by $g \mapsto$ $\left[\left(g \cdot I_{\mathrm{H}\left(\omega_{i}\right)}, 1\right)\right]$, where $I_{\mathrm{H}\left(\omega_{i}\right)}$ denotes the identity map on $\mathrm{H}\left(\omega_{i}\right)$ and $g$ acts on $\operatorname{End}\left(\mathrm{H}\left(\omega_{i}\right)\right)$ by the left action. Let furthermore $i: G_{\mathrm{sc}} \rightarrow X$ denote the the natural $G_{\mathrm{sc}} \times G_{\mathrm{sc}^{-}}$ equivariant morphism and consider the product map

$$
\epsilon=\left(i, \prod_{i \in I} \psi_{i}\right): G_{\mathrm{sc}} \rightarrow X \times \prod_{i \in I} \mathbb{P}\left(\operatorname{End}\left(\mathrm{H}\left(\omega_{i}\right) \oplus k\right),\right.
$$

Let $X_{\mathrm{sc}}$ denote the closure of $\epsilon\left(G_{\mathrm{sc}}\right)$. Then $X_{\mathrm{sc}}$ is an $G_{\mathrm{sc}} \times G_{\mathrm{sc}}$-equivariant variety containing $G_{\text {sc }}$ as an open subset. Notice that unlike $X$ the variety $X_{\text {sc }}$ need not be smooth and in general it is not even normal. Still $X_{\text {sc }}$ is closely related to $X$ as seen by the following result.

Lemma 5.2. The projection morphism $\pi: X_{\mathrm{sc}} \rightarrow X$ defines a bijection between $X_{\mathrm{sc}}-G_{\mathrm{sc}}$ and $X-G$. In particular, $\pi$ is a finite morphism.

Proof. As $\pi$ is dominant and projective it follows that $\pi$ is surjective. Let $x$ denote an element of $X_{\mathrm{sc}}$ and consider its image $\psi_{i}(x)=\left[\left(f_{i}, a_{i}\right)\right]$. Notice that the $G_{\mathrm{sc}} \times G_{\mathrm{sc}}$-invariant homogeneous polynomial function on $\mathbb{P}\left(\operatorname{End}\left(\mathrm{H}\left(\omega_{i}\right)\right) \oplus k\right)$ defined by $[(f, a)] \mapsto \operatorname{det}(f)-a^{\operatorname{dim}_{k}\left(\mathrm{H}\left(\omega_{i}\right)\right)}$, vanishes on $G_{\text {sc }}$ and hence also on $X_{\text {sc }}$. As a consequence we have a commutative diagram

where the right vertical morphism is the defined via the natural projection maps $\mathbb{P}\left(\operatorname{End}\left(H\left(\omega_{i}\right)\right) \oplus k\right)-\mathbb{P}(0 \oplus k) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}\left(\operatorname{End}\left(H\left(\omega_{i}\right)\right)\right)$. Assume now that $x$ is an element of the boundary $X_{\mathrm{sc}}-G_{\mathrm{sc}}$. As the dimension of $G_{\mathrm{sc}}$ and $X_{\mathrm{sc}}$ coincide the $(G, 1)$ stabilizer of $x$ has strictly positive dimension. In particular, the image $\left[\left(f_{i}, a_{i}\right)\right]$ has the same property. Thus, the endomorphism $f_{i}$ is not invertible and thus $a_{i}=0$. This proves that

$$
X_{\mathrm{sc}}-G_{\mathrm{sc}} \subset X \times \prod_{i=1}^{l_{0}} \mathbb{P}\left(\operatorname{End}\left(\mathrm{H}\left(\omega_{i}\right)\right)\right.
$$

and hence $\pi$ maps $X_{\mathrm{sc}}-G_{\mathrm{sc}}$ injectively to the boundary $X-G$. This proves the first assertion. That $\pi$ is a finite morphism now follows as $\pi$ is quasifinite and projective.
5.3. Let $\lambda$ be any dominant weight and consider the map

$$
\psi_{\lambda}: G_{\mathrm{sc}} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(\operatorname{End}(\mathrm{H}(\lambda)) \oplus k)
$$

defined by $g \mapsto\left[\left(g \cdot I_{\mathrm{H}(\lambda)}, 1\right)\right]$, where $I_{\mathrm{H}(\lambda)}$ denotes the identity map on $\mathrm{H}(\lambda)$. Let $X_{\mathrm{sc}}^{\lambda}$ denote the closure of the image of the product map

$$
(\epsilon, \lambda): G_{\mathrm{sc}} \rightarrow X_{\mathrm{sc}} \times \mathbb{P}(\operatorname{End}(\mathrm{H}(\lambda)) \oplus k)
$$

Then the projection map from $X_{\mathrm{sc}}^{\lambda}$ to $X_{\mathrm{sc}}$ is an isomorphism. In particular, we obtain an extension $X_{\text {sc }} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(\operatorname{End}(\mathrm{H}(\lambda)) \oplus k)$ of the morphism $\psi_{\lambda}$ to $X_{\text {sc }}$ which we also denote by $\psi_{\lambda}$. As in the proof of Lemma 5.2 we may prove that

$$
\psi_{\lambda}\left(X_{\mathrm{sc}}\right) \subset(\mathbb{P}(\operatorname{End}(\mathrm{H}(\lambda)) \oplus k)-\mathbb{P}(0 \oplus k))
$$

and that the induced map $X_{\text {sc }} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(\operatorname{End}(\mathrm{H}(\lambda)))$ is compatibly with $\pi: X_{\mathrm{sc}} \rightarrow X$ and the map $\rho_{\lambda}: X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(\operatorname{End}(H(\lambda)))$.
5.4. The variety $X_{\mathrm{sc}}$ is a compactification of $G_{\mathrm{sc}}$ with the $G_{\mathrm{sc}} \times G_{\mathrm{sc}}$ action defined in the natural way. Let $X_{\mathrm{sc}, \delta}$ be the $G_{\mathrm{sc}} \times G_{\mathrm{sc}}$-variety which as a variety is isomorphic to $X_{\mathrm{sc}}$ and where the $G_{\mathrm{sc}} \times G_{\mathrm{sc}}$-action is twisted by the morphism $G_{\mathrm{sc}} \times G_{\mathrm{sc}} \rightarrow G_{\mathrm{sc}} \times G_{\mathrm{sc}}$, $(g, h) \mapsto(g, \sigma(g))$ for $g, h \in G_{\text {sc }}$.

Let $G_{\mathrm{sc}} \sigma$ be the connected component $\left(G_{\mathrm{sc}}, \sigma\right)$ of the disconnected group $G_{\mathrm{sc}} \rtimes\langle\sigma\rangle$. Then $X_{\mathrm{sc}, \delta}$ is a compactification of $G_{\mathrm{sc}} \sigma$ and the morphism $G_{\mathrm{sc}} \sigma \rightarrow G^{1}$ extends to a finite morphism $X_{\mathrm{sc}, \delta} \rightarrow X_{\delta}$. Notice that by Lemma 5.2 we may identify the boundaries of $X_{\mathrm{sc}, \delta}$ and $X_{\delta}$ and we may therefore also regard $Z_{J, \delta}^{w}$, for $J \neq I$, as subsets of $X_{\mathrm{sc}, \delta}$.
5.5. Let $\operatorname{Tr}_{i}$ denote the trace function on $\operatorname{End}\left(\mathrm{H}\left(\omega_{\mathcal{C}_{i}}\right)\right)$. To each $a_{i} \in k$ we may associate a global section $\left(\operatorname{Tr}_{i}, a_{i}\right)$ of the line bundle $\mathcal{O}_{i}(1):=\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}\left(\operatorname{End}\left(\mathrm{H}\left(\omega_{\mathcal{C}_{i}}\right)\right) \oplus k\right)}(1)$ on $\mathbb{P}\left(\operatorname{End}\left(\mathrm{H}\left(\omega_{\mathcal{C}_{i}}\right)\right) \oplus k\right)$. The pull back of $\left(\operatorname{Tr}_{i}, a_{i}\right)$ to $X_{\mathrm{sc}, \delta}$ is then a global section $f_{i, a_{i}}^{\delta}$ of a line bundle on $X_{\mathrm{sc}, \delta}$. In the following, we will study the common zero set $Z\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{l}\right)$ of the sections $f_{i, a_{i}}^{\delta}$, for varying $a_{i} \in k$. By choosing a trivialization of the pull back of $\mathcal{O}_{i}(1)$ to $G_{\mathrm{sc}} \sigma$ we may think of $f_{i, a_{i}}^{\delta}$ as a function on $G_{\mathrm{sc}} \sigma$, and by abuse of notation we also denote this function by $f_{i, a_{i}}^{\delta}$. Notice that the function $f_{i, a_{i}}^{\delta}$ on $G_{\mathrm{sc}} \sigma$ is determined up to a nonzero constant.

## 6. A generalization of a Result by Mohrdieck

The following section gives a presentation of a results by Mohrdieck [M]. The original results by Mohrdieck assumes the characteristic of $k$ to be different from 2 and not to divide the order of $\sigma$. However, only small modifications of the approach by Mohrdieck is needed in order to obtain the characteristic independent Corollary 6.6.
6.1. Let $T_{\text {sc }}$ (resp. $B_{\mathrm{sc}}$ ) denote the inverse image of $T$ (resp. $B$ ) under the canonical $\operatorname{map} G_{\mathrm{sc}} \rightarrow G$. Then $T_{\mathrm{sc}}$ is a $\sigma$-stable maximal torus of $G_{\mathrm{sc}}$ and we let $T_{\mathrm{sc}}^{\sigma}$ denote the set of $\sigma$-invariant elements within $T_{\mathrm{sc}}$. We identify $T_{\mathrm{sc}}$ with $\left(k^{*}\right)^{l_{0}}$ in such a way that value of the fundamental weight $\omega_{i}$ on $\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{l_{0}}\right)$ is equal to $t_{i}$. Then $\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{l_{0}}\right)$ is an element of $T_{\mathrm{sc}}^{\sigma}$ exactly when $t_{i}=t_{j}$ for $i$ and $j$ in the same $\delta$-orbit in $I$. The $\delta$-invariant elements $\Lambda(R)^{\delta}$ of the character group $\Lambda(R)$ of $T_{\mathrm{sc}}$ is freely generated by the characters $\omega_{\mathcal{C}_{i}}, i=1, \ldots, l$ and defines a quotient torus $T^{\prime} \simeq\left(k^{*}\right)^{l}$ of $T_{\mathrm{sc}}$. The induced map

$$
T_{\mathrm{sc}}^{\sigma} \rightarrow T^{\prime} \simeq\left(k^{*}\right)^{l}
$$

is then given by

$$
\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{l_{0}}\right) \mapsto\left(s_{1}, \ldots, s_{l}\right)
$$

where $s_{i}=t_{j}^{\left|\mathcal{C}_{i}\right|}$ for any $j \in \mathcal{C}_{i}$. Consider the set $\left(T_{\mathrm{sc}} / T_{\mathrm{sc}}^{\sigma}\right)^{\sigma}$ of $\sigma$-invariant elements in $T_{\mathrm{sc}} / T_{\mathrm{sc}}^{\sigma}$. Then $\left(T_{\mathrm{sc}} / T_{\mathrm{sc}}^{\sigma}\right)^{\sigma}$ is a finite group which acts on $T_{\mathrm{sc}}^{\sigma}$ by letting $t T_{\mathrm{sc}}^{\sigma}$ act on $s \in T_{\mathrm{sc}}^{\sigma}$ by $t s \sigma\left(t^{-1}\right)$. It is easily seen that the effect of the action of $\left(T_{\mathrm{sc}} / T_{\mathrm{sc}}^{\sigma}\right)^{\sigma}$
on a element $\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{l_{0}}\right) \in T_{\text {sc }}$ is that the coordinates of $\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{l_{0}}\right)$ within a single $\delta$-orbit of $I$ is multiplied with a $\left|\mathcal{C}_{i}\right|$-th root of 1 . In particular, we obtain
Lemma 6.2. The morphism $T_{\mathrm{sc}}^{\sigma} \rightarrow T^{\prime}$ respects the action of $\left(T_{\mathrm{sc}} / T_{\mathrm{sc}}^{\sigma}\right)^{\sigma}$ on $T_{\mathrm{sc}}^{\sigma}$ and the induced map $\eta: T_{\mathrm{sc}}^{\sigma} /\left(T_{\mathrm{sc}} / T_{\mathrm{sc}}^{\sigma}\right)^{\sigma} \rightarrow T^{\prime}$ is bijective. Moreover, the group of $\sigma$-invariant elements $W^{\sigma}$ within the Weyl group $W$ acts naturally on both $T_{\mathrm{sc}}^{\sigma} /\left(T_{\mathrm{sc}} / T_{\mathrm{sc}}^{\sigma}\right)^{\sigma}$ and $T^{\prime}$ and under these actions $\eta$ is $W^{\sigma}$-equivariant.
6.3. For a root $\alpha$ we let $\alpha^{\prime} \in \Lambda(R)$ denote the sum of the roots within the $\delta$-orbit of $\alpha$. When $G_{\text {sc }}$ is not of type $\mathrm{A}_{2 n}$ we then let $R^{\prime} \subset \Lambda(R)$ consists of the elements $\alpha^{\prime}$ for $\alpha \in R$. If $G_{\text {sc }}$ is of type $\mathrm{A}_{2 n}$ we instead let $R^{\prime}$ be the union of the element $\alpha^{\prime}$ for $\alpha \in R$ satisfying $\left\langle\delta(\alpha), \alpha^{\vee}\right\rangle=0$, and $2 \alpha^{\prime}$ for $\alpha \in R$ satisfying $\left\langle\delta(\alpha), \alpha^{\vee}\right\rangle \neq 0$ and $\alpha \neq \delta(\alpha)$. The set $R^{\prime}$ together with the $\sigma$-invariant Weyl group $W^{\sigma}$ defines an irreducible root system (cf. [M, Sect. 2]). We let $G^{\prime}$ denote the associated connected and simply connected linear algebraic group. As the weight lattice of $R^{\prime}$ coincides with the $\delta$-invariant elements in $\Lambda(R)$ we may consider $T^{\prime}$ as a maximal torus of $G^{\prime}$. The set of positive roots in $R$ defines in a natural way a choice of positive roots in $R^{\prime}$. The associated Borel subgroup of $G^{\prime}$ containing $T^{\prime}$ will be denoted by $B^{\prime}$.
6.4. Let $\lambda \in \Lambda_{+}^{\delta}$ be a $\delta$-invariant dominant weight. We may then regard $\lambda$ as a dominant $T^{\prime}$-weight. The associated dual Weyl $G^{\prime}$-module is denoted by $\mathrm{H}^{\prime}(\lambda)$. Let $\chi_{i}^{\prime}$ denote the $G^{\prime}$-character associated to the $G^{\prime}$-module $\mathrm{H}^{\prime}\left(\omega_{\mathcal{C}_{i}}\right)$. The following result is then essentially due to Jantzen (cf. proof of Prop. 3.15 in $[\mathrm{M}]$ ).
Theorem 6.5. There exists a nonzero constant $c_{i} \in k^{*}$ such that $\chi_{i}^{\prime}\left(t^{\prime}\right)=c_{i} f_{i, 0}^{\delta}(t \sigma)$ for all $t \in T_{\mathrm{sc}}$ and with $t^{\prime}$ denoting the image of $t$ under the natural quotient map $T \rightarrow T^{\prime}$.

Proof. Chose $t_{0} \in T$ such that the composition $\sigma^{\prime}:=\operatorname{int}\left(\mathrm{t}_{0}\right) \circ \sigma$ of $\sigma$ with the interior automorphism of $G_{\text {sc }}$ defined by $t_{0}$, is a graph automorphism of $G_{\text {sc }}$ of the form considered in Section 9 of [J]. Applying [J, Satz 9] we obtain that $\chi_{i}^{\prime}\left(t^{\prime}\right)=c_{i} f_{i, 0}^{\delta}\left(t t_{0} \sigma\right)$ for $t \in T_{\mathrm{sc}}$ and some nonzero constant $c_{i}$. We claim that $t_{0}^{\prime}$ is a central element in $G^{\prime}$ which will prove the statement. To see this notice that by [J, Sect. 9] the element $\sigma^{\prime}$ satisfies $\sigma^{\prime}\left(x_{\alpha}^{\prime}(z)\right)=x_{\delta(\alpha)}^{\prime}(z)$ for $\alpha$ simple and some specific chosen root homomorphisms $x_{\alpha}^{\prime}: k \rightarrow G_{\text {sc }}$ defined from a Chevalley basis of the Lie algebra of $G_{\mathrm{sc}}$. Similarly $\sigma$ satisfies by 3.2 that $\sigma\left(x_{\alpha}(z)\right)=x_{\delta(\alpha)}(z)$ for $z \in k$. Fix nonzero constants $c_{\alpha}$ such that $x_{\alpha}(z)=x_{\alpha}^{\prime}\left(c_{\alpha} z\right)$. Then $c_{\delta(\alpha)} \delta(\alpha)\left(t_{0}\right)=c_{\alpha}$ for all simple roots $\alpha$ and hence $\prod_{\alpha \in \mathcal{C}_{i}} \alpha\left(t_{0}\right)=1$ for all $i=1, \ldots, l$. In particular, for each $\alpha^{\prime} \in R^{\prime}$ we have $\alpha^{\prime}\left(t_{0}\right)=1$ and hence $t_{0}^{\prime}$ is central in $G^{\prime}$.

Notice that $f_{i, 0}^{\delta}$ is an $G_{\mathrm{sc}}$-invariant function on $G_{\mathrm{sc}} \sigma$. Hence $f_{i, 0}^{\delta}$ induces a morphism $G_{\text {sc }} \sigma / / G_{\text {sc }} \rightarrow k$ which we denote by $\bar{f}_{i, 0}^{\delta}$.
Corollary 6.6. The product morphism $\prod_{i=1}^{l} \bar{f}_{i, 0}^{\delta}: G_{\mathrm{sc}} \sigma / / G_{\mathrm{sc}} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^{l}$ is bijective.
Proof. By the considerations in 3.3 we first of all have an injective morphism

$$
g^{*}: k\left[G_{\mathrm{sc}} \sigma\right]^{G_{\mathrm{sc}}} \rightarrow k\left[T_{\mathrm{sc}}^{\sigma}\right]^{N},
$$

where $N:=W^{\sigma} \rtimes\left(T_{\mathrm{sc}} / T_{\mathrm{sc}}^{\sigma}\right)^{\sigma}$ denotes the semidirect product of the finite groups $W^{\sigma}$ and $\left(T_{\mathrm{sc}} / T_{\mathrm{sc}}^{\sigma}\right)^{\sigma}$. Furthermore, by the considerations in 6.1 above we also have an injective morphism

$$
f^{*}: k\left[T^{\prime}\right]^{W^{\sigma}} \rightarrow k\left[T_{\mathrm{sc}}^{\sigma}\right]^{N} .
$$

By [Ste2, Lemma 7.3] the ring $k\left[T^{\prime}\right]^{W^{\sigma}}$ is a polynomial ring generated by the restriction of $\chi_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, \chi_{l}^{\prime}$ to $T^{\prime}$. In particular, Theorem 6.5 implies that the image of $g^{*}$ contains the image of $f^{*}$ and thus there exists an induced injective map

$$
h^{*}: k\left[T^{\prime}\right]^{W^{\sigma}} \rightarrow k\left[G_{\mathrm{sc}} \sigma\right]^{G_{\mathrm{sc}}} .
$$

such that $g^{*} \circ h^{*}=f^{*}$. By the description of the map $T_{\mathrm{sc}}^{\sigma} \rightarrow T^{\prime}$ the map $f^{*}$ is integral and hence the same is true for $h^{*}$ and $g^{*}$. Consider now that induced map of affine varieties


By Lemma 6.2 the morphism $f$ is bijective. Hence, $g$ is injective. As $g^{*}$ is injective and integral we conclude that $g$, and thus also $h$, is bijective. Finally notice that by definition $h$ is the product of $c_{i} \bar{f}_{i, 0}^{\delta}, i=1, \ldots, l$, for certain nonzero constants $c_{i}$.

Remark. 1. For connected groups this corollary is just an easy consequence of a classical result by Steinberg [Ste1, Thm.6.1]. For disconnected groups and characteristics of $k$ different from 2 and not dividing the order of $\sigma$ the corollary is a consequence of a result by Mohrdieck [M, Thm.3.16]. In fact, the result by Mohrdieck, with the mentioned restrictions on the characteristic, shows that the map $\prod_{i=1}^{l} \bar{f}_{i, 0}^{\delta}$ is even an isomorphism. It is not clear to us whether this remains valid for arbitrary characteristics.
2. In fact, one can show that $N=N_{G}\left(T_{s c}^{\sigma} \sigma\right) / T_{s c}^{\sigma}$, where $N_{G}\left(T_{s c}^{\sigma} \sigma\right)=\{g \in G \mid$ $\left.g T_{s c}^{\sigma} \sigma g^{-1}=T_{s c}^{\sigma} \sigma\right\}$. The finite group $N$ plays a similar role for the disconnected group as the Weyl group for the connected group.

## 7. Steinberg fibers and trace maps

Lemma 7.1. The intersection of $Z\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{l}\right)$ with the boundary $X_{\mathrm{sc}}-G_{\mathrm{sc}}$ of $X_{\mathrm{sc}}$ is independent of $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{l}$. Moreover, the intersection $Z\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{l}\right) \cap G_{\mathrm{sc}} \sigma$ is a single Steinberg fiber.

Proof. Similar to the proof of Lemma 5.2 it may be seen that $x$ is an element of $X_{\mathrm{sc}}-G_{\mathrm{sc}}$ exactly when the image $\psi_{\lambda}(x)$ is of the form $[(f, 0)]$. Thus, the section $f_{i, a_{i}}^{\delta}$ coincides with $f_{i, 0}^{\delta}$ on the boundary of $X_{\mathrm{sc}}$. This proves the first statement. The latter statement follows by 6.6.
Lemma 7.2. Let $J \subsetneq I, w \in W^{\delta(J)}$ and $b \in B$. If $f_{i, 0}^{\delta}\left((b w, 1) h_{J, \delta}\right)=0$, then either (1) $w \omega_{\mathcal{C}_{i}} \neq \omega_{\mathcal{C}_{i}}$ or (2) $\mathcal{C}_{i} \subset J$ and $w \alpha_{j}=\alpha_{j}$ for all $j \in \mathcal{C}_{i}$.

Proof. Assume that $w \omega_{\mathcal{C}_{i}}=\omega_{\mathcal{C}_{i}}$. Then the diagonal entry of the representative $A$ of $\rho_{\omega_{c_{i}}, \delta}\left((b w, 1) h_{J, \delta}\right)$ associated to the lowest weight space is nonzero. In particular, the relation $\left.f_{i, 0}^{\delta}\left((b w, 1) h_{J, \delta}\right)\right)=0$ cannot be satisfied unless there exists a weight $x-\omega_{\mathcal{C}_{i}}$ of $\mathrm{H}\left(\omega_{\mathcal{C}_{i}}\right)$ satisfying that $x=\sum_{j \in J} a_{j} \alpha_{j}$, with $a_{j} \in \mathbb{N} \cup\{0\}$, is nonzero and $w \delta(x)=x$.

Let $K \subseteq J$ denote the set of $j \in J$ such that $a_{j} \neq 0$. As $x-\omega_{\mathcal{C}_{i}}$ is a weight of $\mathrm{H}\left(\omega_{\mathcal{C}_{i}}\right)$ we know that $\mathcal{C}_{i} \cap K$ is nonempty. Now $\sum_{j \in K} a_{j} w \alpha_{\delta(j)}=\sum_{j \in K} a_{j} \alpha_{j}$ and thus $\sum_{j \in K} a_{j}\left(\mathrm{ht}\left(w \alpha_{\delta(j)}\right)-\mathrm{ht}\left(\alpha_{j}\right)\right)=0$. As $w \in W^{\delta(J)}$ we conclude that $\mathrm{ht}\left(w \alpha_{\delta(j)}\right) \geqslant 1$ and consequently $w \alpha_{\delta(j)}$ is a simple root for all $j \in K$. By the assumption $w \omega_{\mathcal{C}_{i}}=\omega_{\mathcal{C}_{i}}$
we know that $w \alpha_{\delta(j)}=\alpha_{\delta(j)}$ for each $j \in \mathcal{C}_{i} \cap K$. In particular, when $j \in \mathcal{C}_{i} \cap K$ then $a_{\delta(j)}=a_{j}$. Hence, $\mathcal{C}_{i} \cap K$ is invariant under $\delta$ and as $\mathcal{C}_{i}$ is a single $\delta$-orbit we have $\mathcal{C}_{i} \cap K=\mathcal{C}_{i}$. This ends the proof.
Lemma 7.3. Let $J \subsetneq I$. Then

$$
Z\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{l}\right) \cap Z_{J, \delta}=\bigsqcup_{\substack{w \in W^{\delta(J)} \\ \operatorname{supp}_{\delta}(w)=I}} Z_{J, \delta}^{w} .
$$

Proof. By Lemma 7.1 it is enough to consider the case when all $a_{i}$ are zero. By 4.4, $\bigsqcup_{J \subset I} \bigsqcup_{w \in W^{\delta(J)} \text { supp }_{\delta}(w)=I} Z_{J, \delta}^{w}=\cap_{i} \mathcal{N}\left(\omega_{\mathcal{C}_{i}}\right)_{\delta} \subset Z(0, \ldots, 0)$. For $z \in Z(0, \ldots, 0) \cap Z_{J, \delta}$, we have that $z=(g, g)(b w, 1) h_{J, \delta}$ for some $g \in G, b \in B, J \subset I$ and $w \in W^{\delta(J)}$. Then $\left.f_{i, 0}^{\delta}\left((b w, 1) h_{J, \delta}\right)\right)=0$ for all $i$. It suffices to prove that $\operatorname{supp}_{\delta}(w)=I$.

If $w=1$, then by Lemma $7.2, \mathcal{C}_{i} \subset J$ for each $\delta$-orbit $\mathcal{C}_{i}$. Thus $I=J$, which contradicts our assumption. Now assume that $w \neq 1$ and that $\operatorname{supp}_{\delta}(w) \neq I$. Then there exist simple roots $\alpha_{i}$ and $\alpha_{j}$ with $n=-\left\langle\alpha_{j}, \alpha_{i}^{\vee}\right\rangle \neq 0$ satisfying that $i \in \operatorname{supp}_{\delta}(w)$ and $j \notin \operatorname{supp}_{\delta}(w)$. Let $\mathcal{C}_{i}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{j}$ denote the associated $\delta$-orbits of $\alpha_{i}$


Now there exists $m \in \mathbb{N}$, such that $\delta^{m}(i) \in \operatorname{supp}(w)$ and thus $w \omega_{\delta^{m}(i)} \neq \omega_{\delta^{m}(i)}$. Hence redefining, if necessary, $\alpha_{i}$ and $\alpha_{j}$ we may assume that $w \omega_{i} \neq \omega_{i}$. Consider then the relation $\alpha_{j}=2 \omega_{j}-n \omega_{i}-\lambda$ with $\lambda$ denoting a dominant weight. Now Lemma 7.2 implies that $w \alpha_{j}=\alpha_{j}$ and $w \omega_{j}=\omega_{j}$ and thus $w\left(n \omega_{i}+\lambda\right)=n \omega_{i}+\lambda$. As both $\omega_{i}$ and $\lambda$ are dominant we conclude that $w \omega_{i}=\omega_{i}$ which is a contradiction.

Now we will prove the main theorem.
Theorem 7.4. Let $F$ be a Steinberg fiber of $G^{1}$ and $\bar{F}$ its closure in $X_{\delta}$. Then

$$
\bar{F}-F=\bigsqcup_{\substack { J \subset I \\
\begin{subarray}{c}{w \in W^{\delta}(J) \\
\operatorname{supp}_{\delta}(w)=I{ J \subset I \\
\begin{subarray} { c } { w \in W ^ { \delta } ( J ) \\
\operatorname { s u p p } _ { \delta } ( w ) = I } }\end{subarray}} Z_{J, \delta}^{w}
$$

which also coincides with the set $Z\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{l}\right) \cap(X-G)$ for all $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{l}$.
Proof. By Lemma 7.1 the set $F\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{l}\right):=Z\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{l}\right) \cap G_{\mathrm{sc}} \sigma$ is a single Steinberg fiber. In particular, $F\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{l}\right)$ is by 3.3 irreducible. Let $C$ be an irreducible component of $Z\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{l}\right)$. By Krull's principal ideal theorem, $\operatorname{dim}(C) \geqslant \operatorname{dim}\left(G_{\mathrm{sc}}\right)-l$. Note that

$$
\operatorname{dim}\left(Z_{J, \delta}^{w}\right)=\operatorname{dim}\left(G^{1}\right)-l(w)-|I-J|<\operatorname{dim}\left(G_{\mathrm{sc}}\right)-l,
$$

for $J \neq I$ and $w \in W^{\delta(J)}$ with $\operatorname{supp}_{\delta}(w)=I$. By Lemma 7.3,

$$
\operatorname{dim}\left(C \cap\left(X_{\mathrm{sc}, \delta}-G_{\mathrm{sc}} \sigma\right)\right)<\operatorname{dim}\left(G_{\mathrm{sc}}\right)-l \leq \operatorname{dim}(C)
$$

Hence $C \cap G_{\text {sc }} \sigma$ is dense in $C$ and since $C \cap G_{\text {sc }} \sigma \subset F\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{l}\right)$, we conclude that $C$ is contained in the closure of $F\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{l}\right)$. Thus the closure of $F\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{l}\right)$ is $Z\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{l}\right)$. In particular, $Z\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{l}\right)$ is irreducible.

Let $F$ be a Steinberg fiber of $G^{1}$. Then $F=\pi\left(F\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{l}\right)\right)$ for some $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{l} \in k$. Hence $\bar{F}=\pi\left(Z\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{l}\right)\right)$. The statement now follows from Lemma 7.3 and Lemma 5.2.
Remark. 1. We call an element $w \in W$ a $\delta$-twisted Coxeter element if $l(w)=l$ and $\operatorname{supp}_{\delta}(w)=I$. (The notation of twisted Coxeter elements was first introduced by Springer in [Spr1]. Our definition is slightly different from his.)

It follows easily from the theorem that $\overline{Z_{I-\{i\}, \delta}^{w}}$ are the irreducible components of $\bar{F}-F$, where $i \in I$ and $w$ runs over all $\delta$-twisted Coxeter elements that are contained in $W^{I-\{\delta(i)\}}$.
2. By the proof of Theorem 7.4 we may also deduce that the closure of a Steinberg fiber $F$ within $X_{\mathrm{sc}, \delta}$ coincides with $Z\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{l}\right)$ for certain uniquely determined $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{l}$ depending on $F$. This result may be considered as an extension of Corollary 6.6 to the compactification $X_{\mathrm{sc}, \delta}$ of $G_{\mathrm{sc}} \sigma$. More precisely, notice that the statement of Corollary 6.6 is equivalent to saying that a Steinberg fiber $F$ of $G_{\text {sc }} \sigma$ is the common zero set of the functions $f_{i, a_{i}}^{\delta}$ for uniquely determined $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{l}$. Here we think of $f_{i, a_{i}}^{\delta}$ as regular functions on $G_{\mathrm{sc}} \sigma$ as explained in 5.5. When generalizing to $X_{\mathrm{sc}, \delta}$ the only difference is that we have to regard $f_{i, a_{i}}^{\delta}$ as sections of certain line bundles on $X_{\mathrm{sc}, \delta}$.

Similar to [H1, 4.6], we have the following consequence.
Corollary 7.5. Assume that $G^{1}$ is defined and split over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$, then for any Steinberg fiber $F$ of $G^{1}$, the number of $\mathbb{F}_{q}$-rational points of $\bar{F}-F$ is

$$
\left(\sum_{w \in W} q^{l(w)}\right)\left(\sum_{\operatorname{supp}_{\delta}(w)=I} q^{l\left(w_{0} w\right)+L\left(w_{0} w\right)}\right),
$$

where $w_{0}$ is the maximal element of $W$ and for $w \in W, l(w)$ is its length and $L(w)$ is the number of simple roots $\alpha$ satisfying $w \alpha<0$.
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