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#### Abstract

We exhibit the analogy between prime geodesics on hyperbolic Riemann surfaces and ordinary primes. We present asymptotic counting results concerning pairs of prime geodesics with fixed homology difference.


## 1. Introduction

Let $M$ be a compact Riemann surface $M$ of genus $g>1$. It is a fascinating fact that the norms of the prime closed geodesics on $M$ in many respects are analogous to ordinary primes $p \in \mathbb{N}$. One may think of them as being 'pseudo-primes'. A striking instance of this analogy is the prime geodesic theorem which was proved by Huber [3] and Selberg (see [2]):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi(x)=\#\{\gamma \in \mathscr{P}(M) \mid N(\gamma) \leq x\} \sim \operatorname{li}(x) . \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $\mathscr{P}(M)$ is the set of prime closed geodesics (a geodesic is prime if it is not an iterate of another geodesic), $l i(x)=\int_{1}^{x} 1 / \log (t) d t$, and $N(\gamma)$ is the norm of $\gamma$ defined by $N(\gamma)=e^{l(\gamma)}$ where $l(\gamma)$ is the geodesic length of $\gamma$.

Consider now $\Phi: \mathscr{P}(M) \rightarrow H_{1}(M, \mathbb{Z})$, i.e. the projection to the first homology group with integer coefficients. Fix $\beta \in H_{1}(M, \mathbb{Z})$ and let $\pi_{\beta}(x)$ be the number of prime geodesics $\gamma$ of norm at most $x$ and with $\Phi(\gamma)=\beta$. Phillips and Sarnak [8] (and immediately following them Adachi and Sunada [1]) found an asymptotic expansion for $\pi_{\beta}(x)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi_{\beta}(x) \sim(g-1)^{g} \frac{x}{\log ^{g+1} x}\left(1+\frac{c_{1}(\beta)}{\log x}+\frac{c_{2}(\beta)}{\log ^{2} x}+\cdots\right) . \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The way in which $c_{i}(\beta)$ depends on the specific homology class $\beta$ remained unexamined in [8]. We notice that the main term does not depend on $\beta$.

In certain applications we would like to understand the dependence of the homology class in this asymptotic expansions. One result in this direction is the following due to Sharp [12]: Fix an isomorphism $\psi: H_{1}(M, \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}^{2 g}$ There exist a $2 g \times 2 g$ positive definite symmetric matrix $N$ of determinant 1 such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi_{\beta}(x)=\frac{e^{-\left\langle\psi(\beta), N^{-1} \psi(\beta)\right\rangle / 2 \sigma^{2} \log x}}{\left(2 \pi \sigma^{2} \log x\right)^{g}} l i(x)+o\left(\frac{x}{\log ^{g+1}(x)}\right), \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^0]where $\sigma^{-2}=2 \pi(g-1)$ and the implied constant is independent of $\beta$. The main point is of course the independence of $\beta$ in the error term, since without this (1.3) reduces to a statement about the main term in a asymptotic expansion a la (1.2).

On average we can get better error terms. Petridis and Risager [6] proved that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\substack{\beta \in B \\\|\psi(\beta)\|_{m} \leq \sqrt{\log x} \log \log x}}\left(\pi_{\beta}(x)-\frac{e^{-\left\langle\psi(\beta), N^{-1} \psi(\beta)\right\rangle / 2 \sigma^{2} \log x}}{\left(2 \pi \sigma^{2} \log x\right)^{g}} l i(x)\right)=o(l i(x)) . \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (1.4) follows an equidistribution result concerning geodesics in (large) sets of homology classes: Let $\|r\|$ be a norm on $\mathbb{R}^{2 g}$. We say that a subset $B \subseteq H_{1}(M, \mathbb{Z})$ has asymptotic density $d_{\|\cdot\|}(B)$ with respect to $\|\cdot\|$ if the limit

$$
\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\#\{\beta \in B \mid\|\psi(\beta)\| \leq x\}}{\#\left\{\beta \in H_{1}(M, \mathbb{Z}) \mid\|\psi(\beta)\| \leq x\right\}}
$$

exists and equals $d_{\|\cdot\|}(B)$, i.e. if the image in $\mathbb{Z}^{2 g}$ under $\psi$ has asymptotic density in $\mathbb{Z}^{2 g}$ with respect to $\|\cdot\|$. In $[6]$ it was shown that there exist a norm $\|\cdot\|_{M}$ such that for all sets $B \subseteq H_{1}(M, \mathbb{Z})$ with asymptotic density with respect to $\|\cdot\|_{M}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\pi_{B}(x)}{\pi(x)} \rightarrow d_{\|\cdot\|_{M}}(B) \text { as } x \rightarrow \infty \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $\pi_{B}(x)$ is the number of prime closed geodesics with norm $N(\gamma)$ at most $x$ and homology class $\Phi(\gamma) \in B$.

One may investigate what happens if we consider pairs - or more generally $k$ tuples - of prime closed geodesics. Pollicott and Sharp [10] recently did so in the following way: Let $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{g}, b_{1}, \ldots b_{g}$ be a fundamental set of generators for the fundamental group $\pi_{1}(M)$ (see section 3) The conjugacy classes of $\pi_{1}(M)$ are in one to one correspondence with closed geodesics on $M$. For a closed geodesic we let $|\gamma|=\min \{\operatorname{wl}(g) g \in\{\gamma\}\}$ where $\{\gamma\}$ is the conjugacy class associated with the closed geodesic $\gamma$ and $\mathrm{wl}(\gamma)$ is the word length of $g$ in the fundamental set of generators. Pollicott and Sharp used sub-shifts of finite type and the thermodynamic formalism to prove the following pair correlation result: there exist a constant $c$ such that for any $a<b$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\#\left\{\left(\gamma, \gamma^{\prime}\right)\left||\gamma|,\left|\gamma^{\prime}\right| \leq n, a \leq l(\gamma)-l\left(\gamma^{\prime}\right) \leq b\right\} \sim c(b-a) \frac{e^{2 n}}{n^{5 / 2}}\right. \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

in the limit $n \rightarrow \infty$. We notice that in terms of the 'pseudo-primes' $N(\gamma)$ Pollicott and Sharp are looking at quotients of norms in finite intervals. For a somewhat different type of results concerning pairs see [9].

In this paper we study a more geometric counting functions for pairs of geodesics. More precisely we consider the counting function for pairs of prime closed geodesics with norm at most $x$ and fixed homology difference:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi_{2}^{\beta}(x)=\#\left\{\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2} \in \mathscr{P}(M) \mid N\left(\gamma_{i}\right) \leq x, \Phi\left(\gamma_{2}\right)-\Phi\left(\gamma_{1}\right)=\beta\right\} . \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

This counting function is geometric in the sense that the ordering of elements is according to the geodesic length. We will prove the following result:
Theorem 1.1. Let $\beta \in H_{1}(M, \mathbb{Z})$.

$$
\pi_{2}^{\beta}(x) \sim \frac{(g-1)^{g}}{2^{g}} \frac{x^{2}}{\log ^{g+2}(x)}
$$

in the limit $x \rightarrow \infty$.

In particular there are infinitely many pairs of prime geodesics with fixed homology difference. One may think of this as a hyperbolic Riemann surface version of the twin prime conjecture. For further explanation as to this analogy we refer to section 2. We can now ask how the error term depends on the specific homology class $\beta$. We prove the following result:
Theorem 1.2. Let $\beta \in H_{1}(M, \mathbb{Z})$.

$$
\pi_{2}^{\beta}(x)=\frac{1}{2^{g}} \frac{e^{-\left\langle\psi(\beta), N^{-1} \psi(\beta)\right\rangle / 2 \sigma^{2} \log (x)}}{\left(2 \pi \sigma^{2} \log (x)\right)^{g}} \frac{x^{2}}{\log ^{2}(x)}+o\left(\frac{x^{2}}{\log ^{g+2}(x)}\right)
$$

when $x>3$, where, when $\|\psi(\beta)\|_{m}=o(\sqrt{\log x} / \log \log x)$, the implied constant is independent of $\beta$.

As with Sharps result (1.3) the main point in Theorem 1.2 is the existence of an error term which is independent of $\beta$. Theorem 1.1 follows trivially from Theorem 1.2. The assumption $\|\psi(\beta)\|_{m}=o(\sqrt{\log x} / \log \log x)$ may be relaxed to $\|\psi(\beta)\|_{m}=$ $o(\sqrt{\log x})$.
Remark 1.3. The geometry of the surface $M$ is intimately linked with the spectrum of the Laplacian of the surface considered as a Riemannian manifold. This link is evident from the Selberg trace formulae which relates the lengths of closed geodesics with the eigenvalues of the Laplacian in a summation formulae. (See (3.7) below). This 'duality' between the length spectrum and the Laplace spectrum has proven itself extremely useful both in the study of eigenvalues (e.g. Weyl's law (see e.g. $[13, \S 4.4])$ ) as well as in the study of the lengths of geodesics which is what we investigate in the present work. We use the Selberg trace formulae to count primes in a homology class (a technique developed by Phillips and Sarnak [8]), and we keep track of the dependence on the specific homology class in the error terms. We then analyze how these error terms contribute to the relevant sum.
Remark 1.4. The fact that we are considering surfaces of fixed negative sectional curvature -1 , is not essential. If $M$ has variable negative curvature we can combine the ideas of this paper with the ideas developed by Sharp [12], to get results similar to theorems 1.1 and 1.2. In this case the proof uses the thermodynamic formalism instead of the Selberg trace formulae. It is also possible to obtain similar results for free groups using ideas by Petridis and Risager [6, 7].
Remark 1.5. The techniques used in this paper may be used to study counting results of a more general type than the ones we consider. Consider any $A \subseteq H_{1}(M, \mathbb{Z})^{k}$. We may then consider the counting function

$$
\begin{equation*}
\#\left\{\left(\gamma_{i}\right) \in \mathscr{P}(M)^{k} \mid N\left(\gamma_{i}\right) \leq x,\left(\phi\left(\gamma_{i}\right)\right) \in A\right\} \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

This may be rewritten as

$$
\sum_{\left(\alpha_{i}\right)_{i=1}^{k} \in A} \prod_{i=1}^{k} \pi_{\alpha_{i}}(x)
$$

By using good expansions for $\pi_{\alpha_{i}}(x)$ it is now possible to study (1.8). To prove Theorem 1.2 we develop and analyze this in full for

$$
A=\left\{\left(\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}\right) \in H_{1}(M, \mathbb{Z})^{2} \mid \alpha_{2}-\alpha_{1}=\beta\right\}
$$

The techniques certainly apply to much more general sets. We hope this paper will be facilitating for anyone interested in such questions.

The paper is organized as follows: In section 2 we describe how the results in this introduction may be seen as analogues of statements in analytic number theory. Section 3 briefly describe the technique developed by Phillips and Sarnak (combined by an idea of Sharp [12]) to count primes in a specific homology class. In the following section we describe how this may be transformed into counting prime pairs with fixed homology difference. In Section 5 we find the main and error term of a counting function with certain weights, and in Section 6 we explain how to use multi-variable summation by parts to remove these weights.

## 2. Ordinary primes in arithmetic progressions

There is nothing new in this section. Its purpose is to emphasize how (almost) all the results mentioned in the introduction are analogues of classical results or conjectures in analytic number theory. Readers not interested in such connections should feel free to move to the next section, as the rest of the paper does not depend directly on this section. We quote from [4] but most of the results can be found in any solid textbooks on analytic number theory.
Let $\Pi(x)=\#\{p \leq x\}$ be the number of primes less than or equal to $x$. The prime number theorem [4, Section 2.1] proved by Hadamard and de la Vallée Poussin asserts that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Pi(x) \sim l i(x) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The theorem of Huber and Selberg (1.1) is analogous to (2.1).
Given a primitive conjugacy class $a \bmod q$ i.e. $(a, q)=1$ we let $\Pi(x ; a, q)$ be the number of primes less than $x$ with $p \equiv a \bmod q$. The main result about primes in arithmetic progressions is ([4, (17.2)])

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Pi(x ; q, a) \sim \frac{l i(x)}{\Phi(q)} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Phi(q)=\#\{1 \leq a<q \mid(a, q)=1\}$ is the Euler totient. The result of Phillips and Sarnak (1.2) my be considered analogous to (2.2).

In applications to other problems involving primes it is of great interest to know how the error term in (2.2) depends on $q$ and $x$. A first result in this direction is the Siegel-Walfisz theorem [4, Corollary 5.29] which states that for all $A>0 a, q \in \mathbb{N}$, $(a, q)=1$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Pi(x ; q, a)=\frac{l i(x)}{\Psi(q)}+O\left(\frac{x}{\log ^{A}(x)}\right) \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

when $x>2$ where the implied constant depends only on $A$. We like to think of Sharps theorem (1.3) as analogous to this result.

The extremely potent idea of taking averages over $q$ and $a$ to get better bounds on the error term on average has been used very successfully in the famous theorem of Bombieri and Vinogradov [4, Theorem 17.1]:

Theorem 2.1 (Bombieri-Vinogradov). For any $A>0$ there exist $B>0$ such that

$$
\sum_{q \leq Q} \max _{(a, q)=1}\left|\Pi(x ; q, a)-\frac{l i(x)}{\Phi(q)}\right|=O\left(\frac{x}{\log ^{A}(x)}\right)
$$

where $Q=x^{1 / 2} \log ^{-B}(x)$. The implied constant depends only on $A$.

Conjecturally (Elliot-Halberstram) we can take $Q=x^{1-\epsilon}$. In many applications Theorem 2.1 is an excellent substitute for the Generalized Riemann hypothesis which says that $\Pi(x, q, a)=l i(x) / \Psi(q)+O\left(x^{1 / 2+\epsilon}\right)$ The large range $q \leq x^{1-\epsilon}$ can be handled on average if we allow averages in $a$ also (See [4, Theorem 17.2]):
Theorem 2.2 (Barbon, Davenport, Halberstram). For any $A>0$ there exist $B>0$ such that

$$
\sum_{\substack{q \leq Q \\ q}} \sum_{\substack{a \bmod q \\(a, q)=1}}\left(\Pi(x ; q, a)-\frac{l i(x)}{\Phi(q)}\right)^{2}=O\left(\frac{x}{\log ^{A}(x)}\right)
$$

where $Q=x \log ^{-B}(x)$. The implied constant depends only on $A$.
The theorem of Petridis and Risager may be considered analogous to Theorems 2.1 and 2.2.

A folklore conjecture says that there are infinitely many twin primes i.e. primes $p$ such that $p+2$ is a prime. This conjecture was quantified by Hardy and Littlewood who conjectured that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\#\left\{p_{1}, p_{2} \leq x \mid p_{2}-p_{1}=2\right\} \sim 2 c_{2} \int_{1}^{x} \frac{1}{\log ^{2}(t)} d t \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c_{2}=\prod_{p>2}\left(1-(p-1)^{-2}\right)$. We could prove it if we where able to handle certain linear combinations of $\Pi(x ; q, a)-l i(x) / \Psi(q)$ See ([4, Section 13.1]). Certainly the Montgomery conjecture - $\Pi(x ; q, a)=l i(x) / \Psi(q)+O\left(x^{1 / 2+\epsilon} / q^{1 / 2}\right)-$ would give it immediately. Unfortunately we are not able to handle the relevant linear combinations and the twin prime conjecture remains completely open.

Theorem 1.1 is analogous to the Conjecture (2.4), and its proof goes along the same lines as what one would like to do for primes. But for prime geodesics the $\beta$ dependence of $\pi_{\beta}(x)$ can be understood well enough that we can prove which contributions give error terms and which contribution gives the main term in the relevant linear combination.

## 3. Counting prime closed geodesics in homology classes

In this section we set up some notation and explain how the Selberg trace formula can be used to count geodesics in a homology class. We then quote an equality from Petridis and Risager [6] which is proved using this technique. This equality is the starting point of our current investigation.
Any compact Riemann surface of genus $g>1$ without boundary may be realized as $M=\Gamma \backslash \mathbb{H}$, where $\mathbb{H}$ is the upper half-plane and $\Gamma \subseteq \operatorname{PSL}_{2}(\mathbb{R})$ is a strictly hyperbolic discrete subgroup of $\mathrm{PSL}_{2}(\mathbb{R})$ acting on $\mathbb{H}$ by linear fractional transformations. The surface $M$ has fundamental group $\pi_{1}(M)=\Gamma$. The closed oriented geodesics are in one to one correspondence with the conjugacy classes of $\Gamma$ by the following recipe: Pick a base point $z_{0} \in \mathbb{H}$ above $m$. From a conjugacy class $\{\gamma\}$ we project $(\bmod \Gamma)$ the geodesic in $\mathbb{H}$ from $z_{0}$ to $\gamma z_{0}$ to $M$ which is homologous to a closed geodesic on $M$.

The group $\Gamma$ has a fundamental set of generators i.e. a set of generators

$$
a_{1}, \ldots, a_{g}, b_{1}, \ldots, b_{g} \in \Gamma
$$

with one defining relation

$$
\left[a_{1}, b_{1}\right] \cdots\left[a_{b}, b_{g}\right]=1
$$

where $[a, b]$ is the commutator of $a$ and $b$.
We let $C_{i}, i=1, \ldots g$, be the geodesic induced by $a_{i}$ and $C_{g+i}, i=1, \ldots g$, be the geodesic induced by $b_{i}$ (The fundamental generators lie in different conjugacy classes so $i \neq j$ implies $\left.C_{i} \neq C_{j}\right)$. The first homology group $H_{1}(M, \mathbb{Z})$ is isomorphic to the free group over $\mathbb{Z}$ of $C_{1}, \ldots, C_{2 g}$, i.e.

$$
H_{1}(M, \mathbb{Z}) \cong\left\{\sum m_{i} C_{i} \mid m_{i} \in \mathbb{Z}\right\} \cong \mathbb{Z}^{2 g}
$$

The exist a basis for the space of harmonic 1-forms which is dual to $C_{1}, \ldots C_{2 g}$ in the sense that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{C_{i}} \omega_{j}=\delta_{i j} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

These lift to harmonic differentials $\alpha_{i}=\Re\left(f_{i}(z) d z\right)$ on $\mathbb{H}$ where $f_{i}(z)$ is a holomorphic form of weight 2 with respect to $\Gamma$. Then $\gamma \in \Gamma$ induces a geodesic with homology $\sum m_{i} C_{i}$ if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi(\gamma):=\left(\int_{z_{0}}^{\gamma z_{0}} \alpha_{1}, \ldots, \int_{z_{0}}^{\gamma z_{0}} \alpha_{2 g}\right)=\left(m_{1}, \ldots, m_{2 g}\right) \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We notice that $\phi(\gamma)$ does not depend on the choice of path or of the choice of $z_{0}$. Consider the unitary characters on $\Gamma$ defined by

$$
\begin{align*}
\chi_{\epsilon}: & \Gamma
\end{aligned} \rightarrow \frac{S^{1}}{} \begin{aligned}
& \\
& \gamma \mapsto \tag{3.3}
\end{align*} e^{2 \pi i\langle\phi(\gamma), \epsilon\rangle} .
$$

where $\epsilon \in \mathbb{R}^{2 g}$ and $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle$ is the usual inner product on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$.
Consider now the set of the set of square-integrable $\chi_{\epsilon}$-automorphic functions, i.e. the set of $f: \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(\gamma z)=\chi_{\epsilon}(\gamma) f(z) \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{F}|f(z)|^{2} d \mu(z)<\infty \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $F$ is a fundamental domain for $\Gamma \backslash \mathbb{H}$. Let $L_{\epsilon}$ denote the Laplacian defined as the closure of

$$
\begin{equation*}
-y^{2}\left(\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial y^{2}}\right) \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

defined on smooth compactly supported functions satisfying (3.4) and (3.5). The Laplacian is self-adjoint and its spectrum consists of a countable set of eigenvalues $0 \leq \lambda_{0}(\epsilon) \leq \lambda_{1}(\epsilon) \leq \ldots$ We write $\lambda_{j}(\epsilon)=1 / 4+r_{j}^{2}(\epsilon)=s_{j}(\epsilon)\left(1-s_{j}(\epsilon)\right)$. All our geodesic counting results has their origin in the Selberg trace formula for $L_{\epsilon}$ which relates the Laplace spectrum with the length spectrum in a very precise way. (See [11, 2]):

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum_{j} \hat{h}\left(r_{j}(\epsilon)\right)= & 2(g-1) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} r \tanh (\pi r) \hat{h}(r) d r \\
& +\sum_{\{\gamma\}} \frac{\chi_{\epsilon}(\gamma) l(\gamma)}{k \sinh (l(\gamma) / 2)} h(l(\gamma)) \tag{3.7}
\end{align*}
$$

where $h$ is a smooth even function on $\mathbb{R}$ of compact support, $\hat{h}$ is its Fourier transform and $l(\gamma)$ is the length of the geodesic induced by $\gamma \in \Gamma$. When $\epsilon=0$ the contribution from $r_{0}(0)$ should be counted twice. One of the main ideas in [8] is that by multiplying (3.7) with $\exp (-2 \pi i\langle\psi(\beta), \epsilon\rangle)$ and then integrating over the whole character variety (i.e. over $\epsilon \in \mathbb{R}^{2 g} \backslash \mathbb{Z}^{2 g}$ ) we pick out exactly those $\gamma$ on the right hand side of (3.7) with homology class $\beta$.

By combining ideas of Sharp [12] and Phillips and Sarnak [8] it is possible to get precise information from (3.7) about

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{\beta}(x)=\sum_{\substack{N(\gamma) \leq x \\ \Phi(\gamma)=\beta}}^{\prime} \frac{l(\gamma)}{\sinh (l(\gamma) / 2)} \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

(the ' on the sum means that we only sum over prime geodesics). Petridis and Risager noticed $[6,(2.11)]$ that up to an error term of decay (independent of $\beta$ ) $x^{-\delta}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{R_{\beta}(x)}{4 \sqrt{x}}-\frac{e^{-\left\langle\psi(\beta), N^{-1} \psi(\beta)\right\rangle / 2 \sigma^{2} \log (x)}}{\left(2 \pi \sigma^{2} \log (x)\right)^{g}} \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

equals

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{B(\rho)}\left(\frac{e^{\left(s_{0}(\epsilon)-1\right) \log (x)}}{2 s_{0}(\epsilon)-1}-e^{-\left\langle\epsilon, N \epsilon 44 \pi^{2} \sigma^{2} \log (x) / 2\right.}\right) \overline{\chi_{\epsilon}^{\beta}} d \epsilon \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every sufficiently small $\rho$. This will be the starting point for our investigation concerning pairs of prime geodesic.

## 4. Counting prime pairs with fixed homology difference

In this section we explain how to use the counting technique described in the previous section to count pairs of geodesics with restrictions on their homology difference.

We define, for $x_{1}, x_{2}>1$,

$$
\pi_{2}^{\beta}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right):=\#\left\{\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2} \in \mathscr{P}(M) \mid N\left(\gamma_{i}\right) \leq x_{i}, \Phi\left(\gamma_{2}\right)-\Phi\left(\gamma_{2}\right)=\beta\right\}
$$

and we denote $\pi_{2}^{\beta}(x):=\pi_{2}^{\beta}(x, x)$. We fix $0<k<1$. We will always assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{k} \leq x_{i} \leq x . \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

An obvious choice is to let $x=\max x_{i}$. Then (4.1) puts restrictions on $\min x_{i}$. The restriction (4.1) implies that $\log \left(x_{1}\right), \log \left(x_{2}\right)$, and $\log (x)$ are all of the same size (i.e. $\left.\log \left(x_{1}\right) \asymp \log \left(x_{2}\right) \asymp \log (x)\right)$ The same is true for $\log ^{-1}\left(x_{1}\right), \log ^{-1}\left(x_{2}\right)$, and $\log ^{-1}(x)$. When we, in the following, estimate various sums the error term may depend on $k$ but never on $x$.

Instead of working with $\pi_{2}^{\beta}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$ directly it turns out to be more convenient for us to work with something closer related to $R_{\beta}(x)$. In principle we would like to use

$$
\begin{aligned}
\pi_{2}^{\beta}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) & =\sum_{\alpha \in H_{1}(M, \mathbb{Z})} \#\left\{\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2} \in \mathscr{P}(M) \left\lvert\, \begin{array}{l}
N\left(\gamma_{i}\right) \leq x_{i} \\
\left(\Phi\left(\gamma_{1}\right), \Phi\left(\gamma_{2}\right)\right)=(\alpha, \beta+\alpha)
\end{array}\right.\right\} \\
& =\sum_{\alpha \in H_{1}(M, \mathbb{Z})} \pi_{\alpha}\left(x_{1}\right) \pi_{\beta+\alpha}\left(x_{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

but it turns out to be more convenient to use

$$
\begin{align*}
R_{2}^{\beta}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right): & =\sum_{\substack{N\left(\gamma_{i}\right) \leq x_{i} \\
\Phi\left(\gamma_{2}\right)-\Phi\left(\gamma_{1}\right)=\beta}}^{\prime} \frac{l\left(\gamma_{1}\right) l\left(\gamma_{2}\right)}{\sinh \left(l\left(\gamma_{1}\right) / 2\right) \sinh \left(l\left(\gamma_{2}\right) / 2\right)} \\
& =\sum_{\alpha \in H_{1}(M, \mathbb{Z})} R_{\alpha}\left(x_{1}\right) R_{\alpha+\beta}\left(x_{2}\right) \tag{4.2}
\end{align*}
$$

The main strategy is now to use (3.9) and (3.10) to find an asymptotic expansion for (4.2) and then use multi-dimensional partial summation to get the expansion for $\pi_{2}^{\beta}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$.

We start by making some estimates on $R_{\beta}(x)$. Consider

$$
f_{x}(\epsilon)=\left(\frac{e^{\left(s_{0}(\epsilon)-1\right) \log (x)}}{2 s_{0}(\epsilon)-1}-e^{-\langle\epsilon, N \epsilon\rangle 4 \pi^{2} \sigma^{2} \log (x) / 2}\right)
$$

We let

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
A(\beta, x)= & 4 \sqrt{x} \frac{e^{-\left\langle\psi(\beta), N^{-1} \psi(\beta)\right\rangle / 2 \sigma^{2} \log (x)}}{\left(2 \pi \sigma^{2} \log (x)\right)^{g}}  \tag{4.3}\\
B(\beta, x)= & 4 \sqrt{x} \int_{B(\rho)} f_{x}(\epsilon) \overline{\chi_{\epsilon}^{\beta}} d \epsilon
\end{array}
$$

From (3.9) and (3.10) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{\beta}(x)=A(\beta, x)+B(\beta, x)+O\left(x^{1 / 2-\delta}\right) \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $\delta>0$. The constant $\delta$ and the implied constant are absolute.
To be able to bound expressions involving $B(\beta, x)$, i.e. $\Sigma_{2}, \Sigma_{3}$, and $\Sigma_{4}$, we recall Proposition 2.5 from [6].
Proposition 4.1. Let $N=\left\{\left\langle\omega_{i}, \omega_{j}\right\rangle\right\}$.
(i) For every $\epsilon_{0} \in \mathbb{R}^{2 g}$

$$
\left.e^{\left(s_{0}(\epsilon / 2 \pi \sigma \sqrt{\log (x)})\right.}-1\right) \log (x) \rightarrow e^{-\langle\epsilon, N \epsilon\rangle / 2}
$$

as $x \rightarrow \infty$.
(ii) There exists $\delta>0$ such that for all $\|\epsilon\|<\delta \sqrt{\log (x)}$

$$
\left|e^{\left(s_{0}(\epsilon / 2 \pi \sigma \sqrt{\log (x))}-1) \log (x)\right.}-e^{-\langle\epsilon, N \epsilon\rangle / 2}\right| \leq 2 e^{-\langle\epsilon, N \epsilon\rangle / 4} .
$$

(iii) For all $\theta>0$ sufficiently small there exist $C>0$ such that for all $\log (x)>0$, $\|\epsilon\|<\delta \log (x)^{\theta}$,

$$
\left|e^{\left(s_{0}(\epsilon / \rho \sqrt{\log (x)})-1\right) \log (x)}-e^{-\langle\epsilon, N \epsilon / 2}\right| \leq C \frac{1}{\log (x)^{1-2 \theta}}
$$

(iv) Let $0<\nu<1 / 4$. For every $k>0$ there exist constants $\delta_{1}, \delta_{2}>0$ such that,

$$
\left|e^{\left(s_{0}(\epsilon / 2 \pi \sigma \sqrt{\log (x)})-1\right) \log (x)}-e^{-\langle\epsilon, N \epsilon\rangle / 2}\right| \leq \frac{e^{-\nu\langle\epsilon, N \epsilon\rangle}}{\log ^{k}(x)}
$$

when $\log (x)>0, \delta_{1} \sqrt{\log (\log (x))}<\|\epsilon\|<\delta_{2} \sqrt{\log (x)}$.
We use Proposition 4.1 to prove the following lemma:

Lemma 4.2. Let $j=1,2$. For every $l>0$ there exist a $\delta>0$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{B^{\prime}(x)}\left|f_{x}(\epsilon)\right|^{j} d \epsilon & =O\left((\log x)^{-(g+j)+\varepsilon}\right)  \tag{4.5}\\
\int_{B(\delta) \backslash B^{\prime}(x)}\left|f_{x}(\epsilon)\right|^{j} d \epsilon & =O\left((\log x)^{-l}\right) \tag{4.6}
\end{align*}
$$

where $B^{\prime}(x)=B(\delta \sqrt{\log \log x} / \sqrt{\log x})$.
Proof. We let $j=1$. By a change of variables we see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{B^{\prime}(x)}\left|f_{x}(\epsilon)\right| d \epsilon & =(2 \pi \sigma \sqrt{\log x})^{-2 g} \int_{\|\epsilon\| \leq 2 \pi \sigma \delta \sqrt{\log \log x}}\left|f_{x}(\epsilon / 2 \pi \sigma \sqrt{\log x})\right| d \epsilon \\
\int_{B(\delta) \backslash B^{\prime}(x)}\left|f_{x}(\epsilon)\right| d \epsilon & =(2 \pi \sigma \sqrt{\log x})^{-2 g} \int_{2 \pi \sigma \delta \sqrt{\log \log x} \leq\|\epsilon\| \leq \rho 2 \pi \sigma \sqrt{\log (x)}}\left|f_{x}(\epsilon / 2 \pi \sigma \sqrt{\log x})\right| d \epsilon
\end{aligned}
$$

We now let $\delta=\delta_{1} / 2 \pi \sigma$ where $\delta_{i}$ are constants as in Proposition 4.1 (iv) with $k=l$. We may safely assume that $\rho$ has been chosen so small that $\rho 2 \pi \sigma<\delta_{2}$. Since $s_{0}(\epsilon)$ is even with $s_{0}(0)=1$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\left(2 s_{0}(\epsilon)-1\right)^{-1}-1\right| \leq C\|\epsilon\|^{2} \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

when $\|\epsilon\| \leq \rho$. Hence the integrand is bounded by

$$
\left|e^{\left(s_{0}(\epsilon / 2 \pi \sigma \sqrt{\log x})-1\right) \log x}-e^{-\langle\epsilon, N \epsilon / / 2}\right|+C\left|e^{\left(s_{0}(\epsilon / 2 \pi \sigma \sqrt{\log x})-1\right) \log x}\right|\|\epsilon\|^{2} \log x^{-1}
$$

which by Proposition 4.1 (ii) is bounded by

$$
\left|e^{\left(s_{0}(\epsilon / 2 \pi \sigma \sqrt{T})-1\right) T}-e^{-\langle\epsilon, N \epsilon\rangle / 2}\right|+C e^{-\mu\langle\epsilon, N \epsilon\rangle} \log x^{-1}
$$

for some small $\mu>0$.
When $\|\epsilon\| \leq 2 \pi \sigma \delta \sqrt{\log \log x}$ we use Proposition 4.1 (iii) to conclude (4.5).
We can safely assume that $\delta_{2}$ is big enough that

$$
e^{-\mu\langle\epsilon, N \epsilon\rangle} \leq \frac{e^{-\mu / 2\langle\epsilon, N \epsilon\rangle}}{\log ^{l}(x)}
$$

when $\delta_{2} \sqrt{\log \log x} \leq\|\epsilon\| \leq \rho 2 \pi \sigma \sqrt{\log (x)}$. Using Proposition 4.1 (iii) we see that

$$
\left|f_{x}(\epsilon / 2 \pi \sigma \sqrt{\log x})\right| \leq C^{\prime} \frac{e^{-\mu(\epsilon, N \epsilon\rangle / 2}}{\log ^{l}(x)}
$$

in this region, from which we easily conclude (4.6).
The case $j=2$ is similar.

We now return to the sum (4.2) We start by showing that we only need a finite sum:

Lemma 4.3. Let $\|r\|_{m}=\sum\left|r_{i}\right|$ be the max norm. There exist a constant $C>0$ depending only on $M$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\phi(\gamma)\|_{m} \leq C l_{\gamma} \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all closed geodesics $\gamma$.

Proof. This follows directly from [6, Lemma 2.4].
From Lemma 4.3 follows that $R_{\beta}(x)=0$ if $\|\psi(\beta)\|_{m}>C \log (x)$. This implies that in (4.2) we only need to sum over

$$
\begin{align*}
\|\psi(\alpha+\beta)\|_{m} & \leq C \log x_{2}  \tag{4.9}\\
\|\psi(\alpha)\|_{m} & \leq C \log x_{1} \tag{4.10}
\end{align*}
$$

Since we are mainly interested in asymptotics we may restrict the sum to a much smaller sum. We define $u(x)=\sqrt{\log (x)} \log \log x$ and let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{R}_{2}^{\beta}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right):=\sum_{\substack{\alpha \in H_{1}(M, \mathbb{Z}) \\\|\psi(\alpha)\|_{m} \leq u(x)}} R_{\alpha}\left(x_{1}\right) R_{\alpha+\beta}\left(x_{2}\right) \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{align*}
R_{2}^{\beta}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)-\tilde{R}_{2}^{\beta}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)= & \sum_{\substack{\alpha \in H_{1}(M, \mathbb{Z}) \\
u(x) \leq\|\psi(\alpha)\|_{m} \leq C \log (x)}} R_{\alpha}\left(x_{1}\right) R_{\alpha+\beta}\left(x_{2}\right)  \tag{4.12}\\
& \leq O\left(\frac{\sqrt{x_{2}}}{\log ^{g} x_{2}} \sum_{\substack{\alpha \in H_{1}(M, \mathbb{Z}) \\
u(x) \leq\|\psi(\alpha)\|_{m} \leq C \log (x)}} R_{\alpha}\left(x_{1}\right)\right) \tag{4.13}
\end{align*}
$$

We used Lemma 4.2 to get the inequality. The sum

$$
\sum_{\substack{\left.\alpha \in H_{1}(M, \mathbb{Z}) \\ x\right) \leq\|\psi(\alpha)\|_{m} \leq C \log (x)}} R_{\alpha}\left(x_{1}\right)
$$

is $o\left(\sqrt{x_{1}}\right)$ by [6, Lemma 2.7].
It follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{2}^{\beta}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)-\tilde{R}_{2}^{\beta}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=o\left(\frac{\sqrt{x_{1} x_{2}}}{\log ^{g}(x)}\right) \tag{4.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

so for the asymptotic results we are aiming at, we may consider the small sum $\tilde{R}_{2}^{\beta}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$.

Using (4.14) we conclude that when $x \geq 3$

$$
\begin{aligned}
R_{2}^{\beta}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)= & \sum_{\substack{\alpha \in H_{1}(M, \mathbb{Z}) \\
\|\psi(\alpha)\|_{m} \leq u(x)}} A\left(\alpha, x_{1}\right) A\left(\alpha+\beta, x_{2}\right) \\
& +\sum_{\substack{\alpha \in H_{1}(M, \mathbb{Z}) \\
\|\psi(\alpha)\|_{m} \leq u(x)}} A\left(\alpha, x_{1}\right) B\left(\alpha+\beta, x_{2}\right) \\
& +\sum_{\substack{\alpha \in H_{1}(M, \mathbb{Z}) \\
\|\psi(\alpha)\|_{m} \leq u(x)}} B\left(\alpha, x_{1}\right) A\left(\alpha+\beta, x_{2}\right) \\
& +\sum_{\substack{\alpha \in H_{1}(M, \mathbb{Z}) \\
\|\psi(\alpha)\|_{m} \leq u(x)}} B\left(\alpha, x_{1}\right) B\left(\alpha+\beta, x_{2}\right)+o\left(\left(x_{1} x_{2}\right)^{1 / 2} / \log ^{g} x\right) \\
= & \Sigma_{1}\left(\beta, x_{1}, x_{2}\right)+\Sigma_{2}\left(\beta, x_{1}, x_{2}\right)+\Sigma_{3}\left(\beta, x_{1}, x_{2}\right)+\Sigma_{4}\left(\beta, x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \\
& +o\left(\left(x_{1} x_{2}\right)^{1 / 2} / \log ^{g} x\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

for some $\delta^{\prime}>0$.

## 5. Finding the main term and error term

We ended the last section by splitting the function $R_{2}^{\beta}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$ into four different contributions and an error term. In this section we determine which contributions are 'big' and which are 'small'. We will prove the following result:
Theorem 5.1. Let $\beta \in H_{1}(M, \mathbb{Z})$ and $0<k<1$. Then

$$
R_{2}^{\beta}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=16 x_{1}^{1 / 2} x_{2}^{1 / 2} \frac{e^{-\left\langle\psi(\beta), N^{-1} \psi(\beta)\right\rangle / 2 \sigma^{2} \log x_{2}}}{\left(2 \pi \sigma^{2}\left(\log x_{1}+\log x_{2}\right)\right)^{g}}+o\left(\frac{x_{1}^{1 / 2} x_{2}^{1 / 2}}{\log ^{g / 2}\left(x_{1}\right) \log ^{g / 2}\left(x_{2}\right)}\right)
$$

when $\left.3<x^{k} \leq x_{i} \leq x,\|\psi(\beta)\|_{m}=o(\sqrt{\log x} / \log \log x)\right)$ and $x \rightarrow \infty$, where the implied constant depends at most on $k$ and $M$.
Our starting point is the identity (4.15). We start by showing that the main term comes out of $\Sigma_{1}$.

## Lemma 5.2.

$$
\Sigma_{1}\left(\beta, x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=16 x_{1}^{1 / 2} x_{2}^{1 / 2} \frac{e^{-\left\langle\psi(\beta), N^{-1} \psi(\beta)\right\rangle / 2 \sigma^{2} \log x_{2}}}{\left(2 \pi \sigma^{2}\left(\log x_{1}+\log x_{2}\right)\right)^{g}}+o\left(\frac{x_{1}^{1 / 2} x_{2}^{1 / 2}}{\log ^{g / 2}\left(x_{1}\right) \log ^{g / 2}\left(x_{2}\right)}\right)
$$

where, when $\|\psi(\beta)\|_{m}=o(\sqrt{\log x} / \log \log x)$, the implied constant is independent of $\beta$.
Proof. Using (4.15) and (4.3) we easily find that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Sigma_{1}\left(\beta, x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=16 \frac{\sqrt{x_{1} x_{2}}}{\left(2 \pi \sigma^{2}\right)^{2 g}} \frac{1}{\left(\log x_{1} \log x_{2}\right)^{g}} \\
& \cdot \sum_{\substack{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^{2 g} \\
\|\alpha \alpha\|_{m} \leq u(x)}} e^{-\frac{\left\langle\alpha, N^{-1} \alpha\right\rangle}{2 \sigma^{2} \log x_{1}}} e^{-\frac{\left\langle(\alpha+\psi(\beta)), N^{-1}(\alpha+\psi(\beta))\right\rangle}{2 \sigma^{2} \log x_{2}}} \\
&=16 \frac{\sqrt{x_{1} x_{2}}}{\left(2 \pi \sigma^{2}\right)^{2 g}} \frac{e^{-\left\langle\psi(\beta), N^{-1} \psi(\beta)\right\rangle / 2 \sigma^{2} \log x_{2}}}{\left(\log x_{1}+\log x_{2}\right)^{g}} \\
& \cdot \sum_{\substack{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^{g} g \\
\|\alpha\| \|_{m} \leq u(x)}} \frac{e^{-\frac{\left\langle\alpha, N^{-1} \alpha\right\rangle}{2 \sigma^{2} g\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)}}}{g\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)^{g}} e^{-2 \frac{\left\langle\alpha, N^{-1} \psi(\beta)\right\rangle}{2 \sigma^{2} \log x_{2}}}
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
g\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=\left(\log ^{-1} x_{1}+\log ^{-1} x_{2}\right)^{-1}=\frac{\log x_{1} \log x_{2}}{\log x_{1}+\log x_{2}} \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We must therefore understand the above sum.
We consider first the case where $\beta=0$. In this case we consider

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{\left(2 \pi \sigma^{2}\right)^{g}} \sum_{\substack{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^{2 g} \\\|\alpha\|_{m} \leq u(x)}} \frac{e^{-\left\langle\alpha, N^{-1} \alpha\right\rangle / 2 \sigma^{2} g\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)}}{g\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)^{g}} \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from [6, Lemma 2.10] that this sum converges to 1 , and we conclude that

$$
\Sigma_{1}\left(0, x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=\frac{16 x_{1}^{1 / 2} x_{2}^{1 / 2}}{\left(2 \pi \sigma^{2}\left(\log x_{1}+\log x_{2}\right)\right)^{g}}+o\left(\frac{x_{1}^{1 / 2} x_{2}^{1 / 2}}{\log ^{g / 2}\left(x_{1}\right) \log ^{g / 2}\left(x_{2}\right)}\right)
$$

which proves the lemma when $\beta=0$.
The general case follows in the same way if we verify that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\substack{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^{2 g} \\\|\alpha\|_{m} \leq u(x)}} \frac{e^{-\left\langle\alpha, N^{-1} \alpha\right\rangle / 2 \sigma^{2} g\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)}}{g\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)^{g}}\left(1-e^{-2\left\langle\alpha, N^{-1} \psi(\beta)\right\rangle / 2 \sigma^{2} \log x_{2}}\right)=o(1) \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

when $\|\beta\|_{m}=o(\sqrt{\log x} / \log \log x)$.
There exist a decreasing function $r(x)$ going to zero as $x \rightarrow \infty$ such that $\|\beta\|_{m} \leq$ $r(x) \sqrt{\log x} / \log \log x)$. Hence there exist an absolute constant $C>0$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(1-e^{-2\left\langle\alpha, N^{-1} \psi(\beta)\right\rangle / 2 \sigma^{2} \log x_{2}}\right) \leq C r(x) \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

when $\|\alpha\|_{m} \leq \sqrt{\log x} \log \log x$. The bound (5.3) now follows from this and the fact that the remaining part of the sum converges to 1 ([6, Lemma 2.10]). In particular it is bounded (independently of $\beta$ )

Remark 5.3. It is fairly straightforward to improve Lemma 5.2 to only requiring $\|\beta\|_{m}=o(\sqrt{\log x})$. This requires showing that we need only to sum over $u(t)=$ $\sqrt{\log (x)} v(x)$ in 4.15. Here $v(x)$ is some function which grows sufficiently slowly to infinity.

We are now ready to bound the 3 remaining terms of (4.15) which all go into the error term in Theorem 5.1:

## Lemma 5.4.

$$
\Sigma_{i}\left(\beta, x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=o\left(\frac{x_{1}^{1 / 2} x_{2}^{1 / 2}}{\log ^{g / 2}\left(x_{1}\right) \log ^{g / 2}\left(x_{2}\right)}\right), \quad i=2,3
$$

where the implied constant is independent of $\beta$.
Proof. We see from (4.3) and (4.15) that the function $\Sigma_{3}\left(\beta, x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$ equals

$$
16 x_{1}^{1 / 2} x_{2}^{1 / 2} \int_{B(\rho)} f_{x_{1}}(\epsilon) \sum_{\substack{\alpha \in H_{1}(M, \mathbb{Z}) \\\|\psi(\alpha)\|_{m} \leq u(x)}} \frac{e^{-\left\langle\psi(\alpha+\beta), N^{-1} \psi(\alpha+\beta)\right\rangle / 2 \sigma^{2} \log \left(x_{2}\right)}}{\left(2 \pi \sigma^{2} \log \left(x_{2}\right)\right)^{g}} \overline{\chi_{\epsilon}^{\alpha}} d \epsilon .
$$

By bounding the character trivially and using Lemma 4.2 we get that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Sigma_{3}\left(\beta, x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=O\left(\frac{x_{1}^{1 / 2} x_{2}^{1 / 2}}{\log ^{g+1-\varepsilon}\left(x_{1}\right)} \sum_{\substack{\alpha \in H_{1}(M, \mathbb{Z}) \\\|\psi(\alpha)\|_{m} \leq u(x)}} \frac{e^{-\left\langle\psi(\alpha+\beta), N^{-1} \psi(\alpha+\beta)\right\rangle / 2 \sigma^{2} \log \left(x_{2}\right)}}{\left(2 \pi \sigma^{2} \log \left(x_{2}\right)\right)^{g}}\right) \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The result follows from the fact that

$$
\log ^{-1}\left(x_{1}\right) \leq k^{-1} \log ^{-1}\left(x_{2}\right)
$$

if we show that the remaining sum in (5.5) is uniformly bounded. To see this we note that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{\substack{\alpha \in H_{1}(M, \mathbb{Z}) \\
\|\psi(\alpha)\|_{m} \leq u(x)}} \frac{e^{-\left\langle\psi(\alpha+\beta), N^{-1} \psi(\alpha+\beta)\right\rangle / 2 \sigma^{2} \log \left(x_{2}\right)}}{\left(2 \pi \sigma^{2} \log \left(x_{2}\right)\right)^{g}} \leq \sum_{\substack{\alpha \in H_{1}(M, \mathbb{Z}) \\
\|\psi(\alpha)\|_{m} \leq u(x)+\|\beta\|_{m}}} \frac{e^{-\left\langle\psi(\alpha), N^{-1} \psi(\alpha)\right\rangle / 2 \sigma^{2} \log \left(x_{2}\right)}}{\left(2 \pi \sigma^{2} \log \left(x_{2}\right)\right)^{g}} \\
& \quad \leq \sum_{\substack{\alpha \in H_{1}(M, \mathbb{Z}) \\
\|\psi(\alpha)\|_{m} \leq u(x)}} \frac{e^{-\left\langle\psi(\alpha), N^{-1} \psi(\alpha)\right\rangle / 2 \sigma^{2} \log \left(x_{2}\right)}}{\left(2 \pi \sigma^{2} \log \left(x_{2}\right)\right)^{g}}+\sum_{\substack{\alpha \in H_{1}(M, \mathbb{Z}) \\
u(x) \leq\|\psi(\alpha)\|_{m} \leq u(x)+\|\beta\|_{m}}} \frac{e^{-\left\langle\psi(\alpha), N^{-1} \psi(\alpha)\right\rangle / 2 \sigma^{2} \log \left(x_{2}\right)}}{\left(2 \pi \sigma^{2} \log \left(x_{2}\right)\right)^{g}}
\end{aligned}
$$

The first sum is independent of $\beta$ and converging to 1 ([6, Lemma 2.10]. The second sum may be bounded by an absolute constant times

$$
\sum_{\substack{n \in \mathbb{N} \\ u(x) \leq n}} \frac{e^{-\mu n^{2} / \log x}}{\sqrt{\log x}}\left(\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{e^{-\mu n^{2} / \log x}}{\sqrt{\log x}}\right)^{g-1}
$$

for some small $\mu>0$. It is easy to see that this is bounded (in fact converging to zero) by comparison with an integral. The sum $\Sigma_{2}(\beta, x)$ may be handled in the same way.

## Lemma 5.5.

$$
\Sigma_{4}\left(\beta, x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=o\left(\frac{x_{1}^{1 / 2} x_{2}^{1 / 2}}{\log ^{g / 2}\left(x_{1}\right) \log ^{g / 2}\left(x_{2}\right)}\right)
$$

where the implied constant is independent of $\beta$.
Proof. Using the definitions (4.3) and (4.15) we see that $\Sigma_{4}\left(\beta, x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$ equals

$$
\begin{equation*}
16 x_{1}^{1 / 2} x_{2}^{1 / 2} \int_{B(\rho) \times B(\rho)} f_{x_{1}}\left(\epsilon^{1}\right) f_{x_{2}}\left(\epsilon^{2}\right) \sum_{\substack{\alpha \in H_{1}(M, \mathbb{Z}) \\\|\psi(\alpha)\|_{m} \leq u(x)}} \overline{\chi_{\epsilon^{\prime}}^{\alpha} \chi_{\epsilon^{2}}^{\alpha+\beta}} d \epsilon^{1} d \epsilon^{2} \tag{5.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

We write $B(\rho) \times B(\rho)=\left[B^{\prime}(x) \times B^{\prime}(x)\right] \cup\left[(B(\rho) \times B(\rho)) \backslash\left(B^{\prime}(x) \times B^{\prime}(x)\right)\right]$ where $B^{\prime}(x)$ is defined in Lemma 4.2, and the corresponding $l$ is chosen sufficiently large. We split the integral in (5.6) accordingly.

In the part over $B(\rho) \times B(\rho) \backslash B^{\prime}(x) \times B^{\prime}(x)$, we bound the sum trivially by $O\left(u(v)^{2 g}\right)$ and the remaining integral is easily seen to be $O\left(\log (x)^{-h}\right)$ for $h$ as big as we care for by choosing the data of $B^{\prime}(x)$ sufficiently well.

In the integral over $B^{\prime}(x) \times B^{\prime}(x)$ we use Cauchy-Schwarz to conclude that

$$
\int_{B^{\prime}(x) \times B^{\prime}(x)} f_{x_{1}}\left(\epsilon^{1}\right) f_{x_{2}}\left(\epsilon^{2}\right) \sum_{\substack{\alpha \in H_{1}(M, \mathbb{Z}) \\\|\psi(\alpha)\|_{m} \leq u(x)}} \overline{\chi_{\epsilon^{\prime}}^{\alpha} \chi_{\epsilon^{2}}^{\alpha+\beta}} d \epsilon^{1} d \epsilon^{2}
$$

is bounded by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\int_{B^{\prime}(x) \times B^{\prime}(x)}\left|f_{x_{1}}\left(\epsilon^{1}\right) f_{x_{2}}\left(\epsilon^{2}\right)\right|^{2} d \epsilon^{1} d \epsilon^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \tag{5.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is $O\left(\left(\log x_{1} \log x_{2}\right)^{-(g / 2+1)+\varepsilon}\right)$ by Lemma 4.2, times

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\int_{B^{\prime}(x) \times B^{\prime}(x)}\left|\sum_{\substack{\alpha \in H_{1}(M, \mathbb{Z}) \\\|\psi(\alpha)\|_{m} \leq u(x)}} \overline{\chi_{\epsilon^{1}}^{\alpha} \chi_{\epsilon^{2}}^{\alpha+\beta}}\right|^{2} d \epsilon^{1} d \epsilon^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \tag{5.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Notice that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\int_{B^{\prime}(x) \times B^{\prime}(x)}\right. & \left.\left|\sum_{\substack{\alpha \in H_{1}(M, \mathbb{Z}) \\
\|\psi(\alpha)\|_{m} \leq u(x)}} \overline{\chi_{\epsilon^{1}}^{\alpha} \chi_{\epsilon^{2}}^{\alpha+\beta}}\right|^{2} d \epsilon^{1} d \epsilon^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \\
& \leq \#\left\{\alpha \in H_{1}(M, \mathbb{Z}) \mid\|\psi(\alpha)\|_{m} \leq u(x)\right\} \times \operatorname{vol}\left(B^{\prime}(x)\right) \\
& =O\left(u(x)^{2 g}(\sqrt{\log \log x} / \sqrt{\log x})^{2 g}\right) \\
& =O\left((\log \log x)^{3 g}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Collecting the pieces we see that

$$
\Sigma_{4}\left(\beta, x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=O\left(\frac{x_{1}^{1 / 2} x_{2}^{1 / 2}}{\log ^{g / 2}\left(x_{1}\right) \log ^{g / 2}\left(x_{2}\right)} \log ^{\varepsilon-1} x\right)
$$

from which the result follows.
Theorem 5.1 now follows from (4.15), Lemmata 5.2, 5.4, and 5.5.

## 6. Using partial summation

In this section we show how to use multi-dimensional partial summation to conclude from Theorem 5.1 our main result:

Theorem 6.1. Let $\beta \in H_{1}(M, \mathbb{Z})$ and $0<k<1$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\pi_{2}^{\beta}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=\frac{e^{-\left\langle\psi(\beta), N^{-1} \psi(\beta)\right\rangle / 2 \sigma^{2} \log \left(x_{2}\right)}}{\left(2 \pi \sigma^{2}\left(\log x_{1}+\log \left(x_{2}\right)\right)^{g}\right.} & \frac{x_{1} x_{2}}{\log \left(x_{1}\right) \log \left(x_{2}\right)} \\
& +o\left(\frac{x_{1} x_{2}}{\log ^{g / 2+1}\left(x_{1}\right) \log ^{g / 2+1}\left(x_{2}\right)}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

when $3<x^{k} \leq x_{i} \leq x$ and $x \rightarrow \infty$, where the implied constant depends at most on $k$ and $M$ for $\|\psi(\beta)\|_{m}=o(\sqrt{\log x} / \log \log x)$.

We notice that putting $x_{1}=x_{2}$ we obtain Theorem 1.2 and Theorem 1.1
To prove Theorem 6.1 we let

$$
\left.P_{2}^{\beta}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=\sum_{\substack{N\left(\gamma_{1}\right) \leq x_{i} \\ \Phi\left(\gamma_{2}\right)-\Phi\left(\gamma_{1}\right)=\beta}}^{\prime} \frac{4 \log N\left(\gamma_{1}\right) \log N\left(\gamma_{2}\right)}{\sqrt{N\left(\gamma_{1}\right)} \sqrt{N\left(\gamma_{2}\right)}}\right) .
$$

We have a trivial bound

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{2}^{\beta}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=O\left(x_{1}^{1 / 2} x_{2}^{1 / 2}\right) \tag{6.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

which follows directly from (1.1) by ignoring the condition $\Phi\left(\gamma_{2}\right)-\Phi\left(\gamma_{1}\right)=\beta$.

It is not difficult to see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mid R_{2}^{\beta}( & \left.x_{1}, x_{2}\right)-P_{2}^{\beta}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \mid \\
\leq & \sum_{N\left(\gamma_{i}\right) \leq x_{i}}^{\prime} \frac{2 \log \left(N\left(\gamma_{1}\right)\right)}{\sqrt{N\left(\gamma_{1}\right)}} \frac{\log \left(N\left(\gamma_{2}\right)\right)}{N\left(\gamma_{2}\right) \sinh \left(\log \left(N\left(\gamma_{2}\right) / 2\right)\right)} \\
& -\sum_{N\left(\gamma_{i}\right) \leq x_{i}}^{\prime} \frac{2 \log \left(N\left(\gamma_{2}\right)\right)}{\sinh \left(\log \left(N\left(\gamma_{2}\right) / 2\right)\right)} \frac{\log \left(N\left(\gamma_{1}\right)\right)}{N\left(\gamma_{1}\right) \sinh \left(\log \left(N\left(\gamma_{1}\right) / 2\right)\right)} \\
= & \sum_{N\left(\gamma_{1}\right) \leq x_{1}}^{\prime} \frac{2 \log \left(N\left(\gamma_{1}\right)\right)}{\sqrt{N\left(\gamma_{1}\right)}} \sum_{N\left(\gamma_{2}\right) \leq x_{2}}^{\prime} \frac{\log \left(N\left(\gamma_{2}\right)\right)}{N\left(\gamma_{2}\right) \sinh \left(\log \left(N\left(\gamma_{2}\right) / 2\right)\right)} \\
& -\sum_{N\left(\gamma_{2}\right) \leq x_{2}}^{\prime} \frac{2 \log \left(N\left(\gamma_{2}\right)\right)}{\sinh \left(\log \left(N\left(\gamma_{2}\right) / 2\right)\right)} \sum_{N\left(\gamma_{1}\right) \leq x_{1}}^{\prime} \frac{\log \left(N\left(\gamma_{1}\right)\right)}{N\left(\gamma_{1}\right) \sinh \left(\log \left(N\left(\gamma_{1}\right) / 2\right)\right)} \\
= & O\left(x_{1}^{1 / 2}+x_{2}^{1 / 2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

We used (1.1) again in the last estimate. It follows that Theorem 5.1 holds with $R_{2}^{\beta}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$ replaced by $P_{2}^{\beta}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$.

Using multi-dimensional partial summation ([5, Theorem 1.6])we find

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{\substack{N\left(\gamma_{i}\right) \leq x_{i} \\
\Phi\left(\gamma_{2}\right)-\Phi\left(\gamma_{1}\right)=\beta}}^{\prime} 1= & \sum_{\substack{N\left(\gamma_{i}\right) \leq x_{i} \\
\Phi\left(\gamma_{2}\right)-\Phi\left(\gamma_{1}\right)=\beta}}^{\prime} \frac{4 \log N\left(\gamma_{1}\right) \log N\left(\gamma_{2}\right)}{\sqrt{N\left(\gamma_{1}\right)} \sqrt{N\left(\gamma_{2}\right)}} \cdot \frac{\sqrt{N\left(\gamma_{1}\right)} \sqrt{N\left(\gamma_{2}\right)}}{4 \log N\left(\gamma_{1}\right) \log N\left(\gamma_{2}\right)} \\
= & \frac{\sqrt{x_{1}} \sqrt{x_{2}}}{4 \log x_{1} \log x_{2}} P_{2}^{\beta}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \\
& \quad-\frac{\sqrt{x_{1}}}{4 \log x_{1}} \int_{1}^{x_{2}} P_{2}^{\beta}\left(x_{1}, t_{2}\right) m\left(t_{2}\right) d t_{2} \\
& \quad-\frac{\sqrt{x_{2}}}{4 \log x_{2}} \int_{1}^{x_{1}} P_{2}^{\beta}\left(t_{1}, x_{2}\right) m\left(t_{1}\right) d t_{1} \\
& +\frac{1}{4} \int_{1}^{x_{1}} \int_{1}^{x_{2}} P_{2}^{\beta}\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right) m\left(t_{1}\right) m\left(t_{2}\right) d t_{2} d t_{1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
m(t)=\frac{d}{d t} \frac{\sqrt{t}}{\log (t)}=\frac{1}{2 \sqrt{t} \log (t)}-\frac{1}{\sqrt{t} \log ^{2}(t)} \tag{6.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

We then find the asymptotics of the three integrals.
Lemma 6.2. Let $w \in \mathbb{R}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{1}^{x_{1}} P_{2}^{\beta}\left(t_{1}, x_{2}\right)\left(\sqrt{t_{1}} \log ^{w}\left(t_{1}\right)\right)^{-1} d t_{1}=\frac{\sqrt{x_{1}}}{\log ^{w}\left(x_{1}\right)} P_{2}^{\beta}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \\
&+o\left(\frac{x_{1} x_{2}^{1 / 2}}{\log ^{g / 2+w}\left(x_{1}\right) \log ^{g / 2}\left(x_{2}\right)}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where the implied constant is independent of $\beta$.
Proof. We start by noting that it follows from the trivial bound (6.1) that

$$
\int_{1}^{\sqrt{x_{1}}} P_{2}^{\beta}\left(t_{1}, x_{2}\right)\left(\sqrt{t_{1}} \log ^{w}\left(t_{1}\right)\right)^{-1} d t_{1}=o\left(x_{1}^{3 / 4} x_{2}^{1 / 2}\right)
$$

(Clearly $P_{2}^{\beta}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=0$ for $x_{1}$ or $x_{2}$ close to 1 since lengths of geodesics does not accumulate at zero, so the integral makes sense even though there seems to be a singularity at 1 ).

Let

$$
m_{\beta}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=16 x_{1}^{1 / 2} x_{2}^{1 / 2} \frac{e^{-\left\langle\psi(\beta), N^{-1} \psi(\beta)\right\rangle / 2 \sigma^{2} \log x_{2}}}{\left(2 \pi \sigma^{2}\left(\log x_{1}+\log x_{2}\right)\right)^{g}}
$$

Consider now

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\sqrt{x_{1}}}^{x_{1}} P_{2}^{\beta}\left(t_{1}, x_{2}\right)\left(\sqrt{t_{1}} \log ^{w}\left(t_{1}\right)\right)^{-1} d t_{1}-\frac{\sqrt{x_{1}}}{\log ^{w}\left(x_{1}\right)} P_{2}^{\beta}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \\
&= \int_{\sqrt{x_{1}}}^{x_{1}}\left(P_{2}^{\beta}\left(t_{1}, x_{2}\right)-m_{\beta}\left(t_{1}, x_{2}\right)\right)\left(\sqrt{t_{1}} \log ^{w}\left(t_{1}\right)\right)^{-1} d t_{1} \\
&+\int_{\sqrt{x_{1}}}^{x_{1}} m_{\beta}\left(t_{1}, x_{2}\right)\left(\sqrt{t_{1}} \log ^{w}\left(t_{1}\right)\right)^{-1} d t_{1}-\frac{\sqrt{x_{1}}}{\log ^{w}\left(x_{1}\right)} P_{2}^{\beta}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)  \tag{6.5}\\
&= \int_{\sqrt{x_{1}}}^{x_{1}}\left(P_{2}^{\beta}\left(t_{1}, x_{2}\right)-m_{\beta}\left(t_{1}, x_{2}\right)\right)\left(\sqrt{t_{1}} \log ^{w}\left(t_{1}\right)\right)^{-1} d t_{1} \\
&+\frac{\sqrt{x_{1}}}{\log ^{w}\left(x_{1}\right)} m_{\beta}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)-\frac{\sqrt{x_{1}}}{\log ^{w}\left(x_{1}\right)} P_{2}^{\beta}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)+O\left(\frac{x_{1}^{1 / 2} x_{2}^{1 / 2}}{\log ^{g+w+1}\left(x_{1}\right)}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Using Theorem 5.1 and (6.2) it follows that there exist a function $g_{k}(x)$ depending on $k^{\prime}$, independent of $\beta$, and decreasing to zero as $x \rightarrow \infty$ such that if $x^{k^{\prime}} \leq x_{i} \leq x$ then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|P_{2}^{\beta}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)-m_{\beta}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)\right| \leq g_{k^{\prime}}(x) \frac{x_{1}^{1 / 2} x_{2}^{1 / 2}}{\log ^{g / 2}\left(x_{1}\right) \log ^{g / 2}\left(x_{2}\right)} \tag{6.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

We let $k^{\prime}=k / 2$. Then since we are assuming $x^{k} \leq x_{i} \leq x$ we have $x^{k^{\prime}} \leq t_{1}, x_{2} \leq x$ when $t_{1} \geq \sqrt{x_{1}}$.

If we take absolute values in (6.5) we therefore find

$$
\begin{aligned}
|\cdot| \leq & \left.g_{k^{\prime}}(\sqrt{x}) x_{2}^{1 / 2} \log ^{-g / 2}\left(x_{2}\right) \int_{\sqrt{x_{1}}}^{x_{1}} \log ^{-g / 2-w}\left(t_{1}\right)\right) d t_{1} \\
& +\frac{\sqrt{x_{1}}}{\log ^{w}\left(x_{1}\right)} g_{k^{\prime}}(x) \frac{x_{1}^{1 / 2} x_{2}^{1 / 2}}{\log ^{g / 2}\left(x_{1}\right) \log ^{g / 2}\left(x_{2}\right)}+O\left(\frac{x_{1} x_{2}^{1 / 2}}{\log ^{g+w+1}\left(x_{1}\right)}\right) \\
= & o\left(\frac{x_{1} x_{2}^{1 / 2}}{\log ^{g / 2+w}\left(x_{1}\right) \log ^{g / 2}\left(x_{2}\right)}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma 6.3. Let $w \in \mathbb{R}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{1}^{x_{2}} P_{2}^{\beta}\left(x_{1}, t_{2}\right)\left(\sqrt{t_{2}} \log ^{w}\left(t_{2}\right)\right)^{-1} d t_{2}=\frac{\sqrt{x_{2}}}{\log ^{w}\left(x_{2}\right)} & P_{2}^{\beta}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \\
& +o\left(\frac{x_{1}^{1 / 2} x_{2}}{\log ^{g / 2}\left(x_{1}\right) \log ^{g / 2+w}\left(x_{2}\right)}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where the implied constant is independent of $\beta$.

Proof. We claim that
$\int_{\sqrt{x_{2}}}^{x_{2}} m_{\beta}\left(x_{1}, t_{2}\right)\left(\sqrt{t_{2}} \log ^{w}\left(t_{2}\right)\right)^{-1} d t=m_{\beta}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \frac{\sqrt{x_{2}}}{\log ^{w}\left(x_{2}\right)}+O\left(\frac{x_{1}^{1 / 2} x_{2}}{\log ^{g / 2}\left(x_{1}\right) \log ^{g / 2+w+1}}\right)$
where the implied constant depends at most on $k$ and $w$. Using partial integration we see that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\sqrt{x_{2}}}^{x_{2}} \frac{e^{-\left\langle\psi(\beta), N^{-1} \psi(\beta)\right\rangle / 2 \sigma^{2} \log \left(t_{2}\right)}}{\log ^{g+w}\left(t_{2}\right)} d t_{2}=x_{2} \frac{e^{-\left\langle\psi(\beta), N^{-1} \psi(\beta)\right\rangle / 2 \sigma^{2} \log \left(x_{2}\right)}}{\log ^{g+w}\left(x_{2}\right)} \\
& \quad-\sqrt{x_{2}} \frac{e^{-\left\langle\psi(\beta), N^{-1} \psi(\beta)\right\rangle / 2 \sigma^{2} \log \left(\sqrt{x_{2}}\right)}}{\log ^{g+w}\left(\sqrt{x_{2}}\right)} \\
& (6.7)  \tag{6.7}\\
& \\
& \quad-\int_{\sqrt{x_{2}}}^{x_{2}} \frac{e^{-\left\langle\psi(\beta), N^{-1} \psi(\beta)\right\rangle / 2 \sigma^{2} \log \left(t_{2}\right)}\left(-\left\langle\psi(\beta), N^{-1} \psi(\beta)\right\rangle / 2 \sigma^{2} \log \left(t_{2}\right)-(g+w)\right)}{\log ^{g+w+1}\left(t_{2}\right)} d t_{2} .
\end{align*}
$$

Since $e^{-a v} v$ is bounded for $v \in \mathbb{R}_{+}$the enumerator of the integrand is bounded (depending on $g$ an $w$ ) and the claim follows easily.

Using the claim the proof follows the proof of Lemma 6.2 almost verbatim.
Lemma 6.4. Let $w_{1}, w_{2} \in \mathbb{R}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{1}^{x_{1}} \int_{1}^{x_{2}} P_{2}^{\beta}\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)\left(\sqrt{t_{1}} \log ^{w_{1}}\left(t_{1}\right) \sqrt{t_{2}} \log ^{w_{2}}\left(t_{2}\right)\right)^{-1} d t_{1} d t_{2} \\
&= \frac{x_{1}^{1 / 2} x_{2}^{1 / 2}}{\log ^{w_{1}}\left(x_{1}\right) \log ^{w_{2}}\left(x_{2}\right)} P_{2}^{\beta}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \\
&+o\left(\frac{x_{1} x_{2}}{\log ^{g / 2+w_{1}}\left(x_{1}\right) \log ^{g / 2+w_{2}}\left(x_{2}\right)}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. If we bound $P_{2}^{\beta}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$ trivially 6.1 we see that we only need to bound the integral over $\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right) \in\left[\sqrt{x_{1}}, x_{1}\right] \times\left[\sqrt{x_{2}}, x_{2}\right]$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\int_{\sqrt{x_{1}}}^{x_{1}} \int_{\sqrt{x_{2}}}^{x_{2}} \cdots d t_{1} d t_{2}-\frac{x_{1}^{1 / 2} x_{2}^{1 / 2}}{\log ^{w_{1}}\left(x_{1}\right) \log ^{w_{2}}\left(x_{2}\right)} P_{2}^{\beta}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)\right| \\
& \quad \leq\left|\int_{\sqrt{x_{1}}}^{x_{1}} \int_{\sqrt{x_{2}}}^{x_{2}}\left(P_{2}^{\beta}\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)-m_{\beta}\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)\right)\left(\sqrt{t_{1}} \log ^{w_{1}}\left(t_{1}\right) \sqrt{t_{2}} \log ^{w_{2}}\left(t_{2}\right)\right)^{-1} d t_{1} d t_{2}\right| \\
& \quad+\left|\int_{\sqrt{x_{1}}}^{x_{1}} \int_{\sqrt{x_{2}}}^{x_{2}} \frac{m_{\beta}\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)}{\sqrt{t_{1}} \log ^{w_{1}}\left(t_{1}\right) \sqrt{t_{2}} \log ^{w_{2}}\left(t_{2}\right)} d t_{1} d t_{2}-\frac{x_{1}^{1 / 2} x_{2}^{1 / 2}}{\log ^{w_{1}}\left(x_{1}\right) \log ^{w_{2}}\left(x_{2}\right)} P_{2}^{\beta}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

We use (6.7) and a calculation on the last integral:

$$
\begin{aligned}
= & \left|\int_{\sqrt{x_{1}}}^{x_{1}} \int_{\sqrt{x_{2}}}^{x_{2}}\left(P_{2}^{\beta}\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)-m_{\beta}\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)\right)\left(\sqrt{t_{1}} \log ^{w_{1}}\left(t_{1}\right) \sqrt{t_{2}} \log ^{w_{2}}\left(t_{2}\right)\right)^{-1} d t_{1} d t_{2}\right| \\
& +\left|\frac{x_{1} x_{2}}{\log ^{w_{1}}\left(x_{1}\right) \log ^{w_{2}}\left(x_{2}\right)}\left(m_{\beta}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)-P_{2}^{\beta}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)\right)\right|+O\left(\frac{x_{1} x_{1}}{\log ^{g+w_{1}+w_{2}}(x)}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

We then use (6.6)

$$
\begin{aligned}
= & g_{k^{\prime}}(x) \int_{\sqrt{x_{1}}}^{x_{1}} \int_{\sqrt{x_{2}}}^{x_{2}} \frac{t_{1}^{1 / 2} t_{2}^{1 / 2}}{\log ^{g / 2}\left(t_{1}\right) \log ^{g / 2}\left(t_{2}\right)}\left(\sqrt{t_{1}} \log ^{w_{1}}\left(t_{1}\right) \sqrt{t_{2}} \log ^{w_{2}}\left(t_{2}\right)\right)^{-1} d t_{1} d t_{2} \\
& +g_{k^{\prime}}(x) \frac{x_{1}^{1 / 2} x_{2}^{1 / 2}}{\log ^{w_{1}}\left(x_{1}\right) \log ^{w_{2}}\left(x_{2}\right)} \frac{x_{1}^{1 / 2} x_{2}^{1 / 2} \log ^{g / 2}\left(x_{1}\right) \log ^{g / 2}\left(x_{2}\right)}{}+O\left(\frac{x_{1} x_{1}}{\log ^{g+w_{1}+w_{2}}(x)}\right) \\
= & o\left(\frac{x_{1} x_{2}}{\log ^{g / 2+w_{1}}\left(x_{1}\right) \log ^{g / 2+w_{2}}\left(x_{2}\right)}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

which finishes the proof the the lemma.
We are now ready to finish the proof of Theorem 6.1. From (6.3) and lemmata $6.2,6.3$ and 6.4 we find that

$$
\begin{align*}
\pi_{2}^{\beta}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=\frac{1}{16} \frac{x_{1}^{1 / 2} x_{2}^{1 / 2}}{\log x_{1} \log x_{2}} & P_{2}^{\beta}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \\
& +O\left(\frac{x_{1}^{1 / 2} x_{2}^{1 / 2}}{\log x_{1} \log x_{2} \log (x)} P_{2}^{\beta}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)\right)  \tag{6.8}\\
& +o\left(\frac{x_{1} x_{2}}{\log ^{g / 2+1}\left(x_{1}\right) \log ^{g / 2+1}\left(x_{2}\right)}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

From (6.3) and Theorem 5.1 we find easily

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{2}^{\beta}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=0\left(\frac{x_{1}^{1 / 2} x_{2}^{1 / 2}}{\log ^{g}(x)}\right) \tag{6.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the implied constant is independent of $\beta$. We conclude that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi_{2}^{\beta}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=\frac{1}{16} \frac{x_{1}^{1 / 2} x_{2}^{1 / 2}}{\log \left(x_{1}\right) \log \left(x_{2}\right)} P_{2}^{\beta}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)+o\left(\frac{x_{1} x_{2}}{\log ^{g / 2+1}\left(x_{1}\right) \log ^{g / 2+1}\left(x_{2}\right)}\right) \tag{6.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (6.10) Theorem 6.1 follows from Theorem 5.1.
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