

UNIVERSITY OF AARHUS
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS



ISSN: 1397-4076

ON SHRINKING TARGETS
FOR \mathbb{Z}^m ACTIONS ON TORI

by Yann Bugeaud, Stephen Harrap,
Simon Kristensen and Sanju Velani

Preprint Series No.: 5

December 2008

2008/12/15

*Ny Munkegade, Bldg. 1530
DK-8000 Aarhus C, Denmark*

*<http://www.imf.au.dk>
institut@imf.au.dk*

ON SHRINKING TARGETS FOR \mathbb{Z}^m ACTIONS ON TORI

YANN BUGEAUD, STEPHEN HARRAP, SIMON KRISTENSEN
AND SANJU VELANI

ABSTRACT. Let A be an $n \times m$ matrix with real entries. Consider the set \mathbf{Bad}_A of $\mathbf{x} \in [0, 1]^n$ for which there exists a constant $c(\mathbf{x}) > 0$ such that for any $\mathbf{q} \in \mathbb{Z}^m$ the distance between \mathbf{x} and the point $\{A\mathbf{q}\}$ is at least $c(\mathbf{x})|\mathbf{q}|^{-m/n}$. It is shown that the intersection of \mathbf{Bad}_A with any suitably regular fractal set is of maximal Hausdorff dimension. The linear form systems investigated in this paper are natural extensions of irrational rotations of the circle. Even in the latter one-dimensional case, the results obtained are new.

1. INTRODUCTION

Consider initially a rotation of the unit circle through an angle α . Identifying the circle with the unit interval $[0, 1)$ and the base point of the iteration with the origin, we are considering the numbers $0, \{\alpha\}, \{2\alpha\}, \dots$ where $\{\cdot\}$ denotes the fractional part. If α is rational, the rotation is periodic. On the other hand, it is a classical result of Weyl [24] that any irrational rotation of the circle is ergodic. In other words, $\{q\alpha\}_{q \in \mathbb{N}}$ is equidistributed for irrational α .

Almost every orbit of an ergodic transformation visits any fixed set of positive measure infinitely often. The ‘shrinking target problem’ introduced in [9] formulates the natural question of what happens if the target set – the set of positive measure – is allowed to shrink with time. For example and more precisely, is there an optimal ‘shrinking rate’ for which almost every orbit visits the shrinking target infinitely often? In the specific case of irrational rotations of the circle, the shrinking target sets correspond to subintervals of $[0, 1)$ whose lengths decay according to some specified function ψ . In other words, the problem translates to considering inequalities of the type

$$\|q\alpha - x\| < \psi(q), \quad (1)$$

where $x \in [0, 1)$ and $\|\cdot\|$ denotes the distance to the nearest integer. The following statement dates back to Khintchine [10] and gives the ‘optimal’ choice of ψ in the non-trivial case that α is irrational and $x \neq s\alpha + t$ for any integers s and t . The inequality

$$\|q\alpha - x\| < \frac{C(\alpha)}{q} \quad (2)$$

is satisfied for infinitely many integers q with $C(\alpha) := \frac{1}{4}\sqrt{1 - 4\lambda(\alpha)^2}$ – the quantity $\lambda(\alpha) := \liminf_{q \rightarrow \infty} q \|q\alpha\|$ is the Markoff constant of α . Note that $\lambda(\alpha)$ is strictly positive whenever α is badly approximable by rationals. Thus, the above statement strengthens a result of Minkowski [18]; namely that (2) has infinitely many solutions

SK is a Steno Research Fellow funded by the Danish Natural Science Research Council.
SV: Research supported by EPSRC grants EP/E061613/1 and EP/F027028/1.

with $C(\alpha) = \frac{1}{4}$. In the trivial case that α is irrational and $x = s\alpha + t$ for some integers s and t , the classical theorem of Hurwitz implies that the inequality

$$\|q\alpha - x\| < \frac{1 + \epsilon}{\sqrt{5}q} \quad (\epsilon > 0) \quad (3)$$

is satisfied for infinitely many integers q . Since (3) is weaker than (2), it follows that for any irrational α and any x the inequality (3) has infinitely many solutions. We now describe a metrical statement in which the right hand side of (3) and indeed (2) can be significantly improved – at a cost!

Kurzweil [14] showed that, for any non-increasing function $\psi : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ such that $\sum \psi(q) = \infty$ and for almost every irrational α , the set of x for which (1) has infinitely many solutions is of full Lebesgue measure. This cannot be improved upon in the sense that there exist irrational α and a function ψ for which $\sum \psi(q) = \infty$, but the ‘full measure’ conclusion fails to hold. Hence, the ‘almost every’ aspect of Kurzweil’s result does not extend to all irrationals α without modification – the divergent sum condition is not enough.

Over the last few years, there has been much activity in investigating the shrinking target problem associated with irrational rotations of the circle. For example, when $\psi(q) := q^{-v}$ ($v > 1$), Bugeaud [3] and independently Schmeling & Trubetsky [21] have obtained the Hausdorff dimension of the set of x for which inequality (1) has infinitely many solutions. Fayad [8], A.-H. Fan & J. Wu [7], Kim [11] and Tseng [22, 23] have built upon the work of Kurzweil in various directions. In particular, Kim has proved that for any irrational α , the set of x for which

$$\liminf_{q \rightarrow \infty} q \|q\alpha - x\| = 0 \quad (4)$$

has full measure. Rather surprisingly, Beresnevich, Bernik, Dodson & Velani [1] have shown that this result and indeed the dimension result of Bugeaud and Schmeling & Trubetsky are consequences of the fact that for any irrational α and any x the inequality (3) has infinitely many solutions.

The result of Kim is the underlying motivation for our work. In this paper we investigate the complementary measure zero set associated with (4); namely

$$\mathbf{Bad}_\alpha := \left\{ x \in [0, 1) : \exists c(x) > 0 \text{ s.t. } \|q\alpha - x\| \geq \frac{c(x)}{q} \ \forall q \in \mathbb{N} \right\}. \quad (5)$$

In fact, we will be concerned with more general actions than rotations of the circle. Broadly speaking, there are two natural ways to generalise circle rotations. One option is to increase the dimension of the torus; i.e. to consider the sequence $\{q\boldsymbol{\alpha}\}$ in $[0, 1)^n$ where $\boldsymbol{\alpha} = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)^T \in \mathbb{R}^n$. The other option is to increase the dimension of the group acting on the torus; i.e. to consider the sequence $\{\boldsymbol{\alpha} \cdot \mathbf{q}\}$ where $\boldsymbol{\alpha} = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m) \in \mathbb{R}^m$ and $\mathbf{q} = (q_1, \dots, q_m)^T \in \mathbb{Z}^m$.

It is possible to consider both the above mentioned options at the same time by introducing a \mathbb{Z}^m action on the n -torus by $n \times m$ matrices. Indeed, we may consider the points $\{A\mathbf{q}\} \in [0, 1)^n$ where $A \in \text{Mat}_{n \times m}(\mathbb{R})$ is fixed and \mathbf{q} runs over \mathbb{Z}^m . In this case, the natural analogue of \mathbf{Bad}_α is the set

$$\mathbf{Bad}_A := \left\{ \mathbf{x} \in [0, 1)^n : \exists c(\mathbf{x}) > 0 \text{ s.t. } \|A\mathbf{q} - \mathbf{x}\| \geq \frac{c(\mathbf{x})}{|\mathbf{q}|^{m/n}} \ \forall \mathbf{q} \in \mathbb{Z}^m \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\} \right\}.$$

Here and throughout, for a vector \mathbf{x} in \mathbb{R}^n we will denote by $|\mathbf{x}|$ the maximum of the absolute values of the coordinates of \mathbf{x} ; i.e. the infinity norm of \mathbf{x} . Also, $\|\mathbf{x}\| := \min_{\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{Z}^n} |\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}|$.

The underlying goal of this paper is to show that no matter which of the \mathbb{Z}^m actions defined above we choose, the set \mathbf{Bad}_A is of maximal Hausdorff dimension.

Theorem 1. *For any $A \in \text{Mat}_{n \times m}(\mathbb{R})$,*

$$\dim \mathbf{Bad}_A = n.$$

In terms of the more familiar setting of irrational rotations of the circle, the theorem reads as follows.

Corollary 1. *For any $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$,*

$$\dim \mathbf{Bad}_\alpha = 1.$$

Note that if α is rational, the set \mathbf{Bad}_α is easily seen to contain all points in the unit interval bounded away from a finite set of points. Thus, for rational α not only is \mathbf{Bad}_α of full dimension but it is of full Lebesgue measure. In higher dimensions, similar phenomena occur in which the finite set of points is replaced by a finite set of affine subspaces. The reader is referred to [5] and §5 below for further details.

Inspired by the works of Kleinbock & Weiss [12] and Kristensen, Thorn & Velani [13], we shall deduce Theorem 1 as a simple consequence of a general statement concerning the intersection of \mathbf{Bad}_A with compact subsets of \mathbb{R}^n . The latter includes exotic fractal sets such as the Sierpinski gasket and the van Koch curve.

2. THE SETUP AND MAIN RESULT

Let (X, d) be a metric space and (Ω, d) be a compact subspace of X which supports a non-atomic finite measure μ . Throughout, $B(c, r)$ will denote a closed ball in X with center c and radius r . The measure μ is said to be δ -Ahlfors regular if there exist strictly positive constants δ and r_0 such that for $c \in \Omega$ and $r < r_0$

$$ar^\delta \leq \mu(B(c, r)) \leq br^\delta,$$

where $0 < a \leq 1 \leq b$ are constants independent of the ball. It is easily verified that if μ is δ -Ahlfors regular then the Hausdorff dimension of Ω is δ ; i.e.

$$\dim \Omega = \delta. \tag{6}$$

For further details including the definition of Hausdorff dimension the reader is referred to [17].

In the above, take $X = \mathbb{R}^n$ and let \mathcal{L} denote a generic $(n-1)$ -dimensional hyperplane. For $\epsilon > 0$, let $\mathcal{L}^{(\epsilon)}$ denote the ϵ -neighbourhood of \mathcal{L} . The measure μ is said to be *absolutely α -decaying* if there exist strictly positive constants C, α and r_0 such that for any hyperplane \mathcal{L} , any $\epsilon > 0$, any $x \in \Omega$ and any $r < r_0$,

$$\mu(B(x, r) \cap \mathcal{L}^{(\epsilon)}) \leq C \left(\frac{\epsilon}{r}\right)^\alpha \mu(B(x, r)).$$

It is worth mentioning that if μ is δ -Ahlfors regular and absolutely α -decaying, then μ is an absolutely friendly measure as defined in [20].

Armed with the notions of Ahlfors regular and absolutely decaying, we are in the position to state our main result.

Theorem 2. *Let $K \subseteq [0, 1]^n$ be a compact set which supports an absolutely α -decaying, δ -Ahlfors regular measure μ such that $\delta > n - 1$. Then, for any $A \in \text{Mat}_{n \times m}(\mathbb{R})$,*

$$\dim(\mathbf{Bad}_A \cap K) = \delta.$$

In view of (6), the theorem can be interpreted as stating that within K the set \mathbf{Bad}_A is of maximal dimension. It is easily seen that Theorem 1 is a consequence of Theorem 2 – simply take $K = [0, 1]^n$ and μ to be n -dimensional Lebesgue measure. Trivially, n -dimensional Lebesgue measure is n -Ahlfors regular and absolutely 1-decaying. More exotically, the natural measures associated with self-similar sets in \mathbb{R}^n satisfying the open set condition are absolutely α -decaying and δ -Ahlfors regular – see [12, 20]. Thus, Theorem 2 is applicable to these sets which in general are of fractal nature.

Although Theorem 2 constitutes our main result, we state an ‘auxiliary’ result in this section for the simple fact that it is new and of independent interest. In short, it strengthens and generalises a theorem of Pollington [19] and de Mathan [15, 16] that answers a question of Erdős. A sequence $\{\mathbf{y}_i\} := \{\mathbf{y}_i := (y_{1,i}, \dots, y_{n,i})^T \in \mathbb{Z}^n \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}\}$ is said to be *lacunary* if there exists a constant $\lambda > 1$ such that

$$|\mathbf{y}_{i+1}| \geq \lambda |\mathbf{y}_i| \quad \forall i \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Given a sequence $\{\mathbf{y}_i\}$ in \mathbb{Z}^n , let

$$\mathbf{Bad}_{\{\mathbf{y}_i\}} := \{\mathbf{x} \in [0, 1]^n : \exists c(\mathbf{x}) > 0 \text{ s.t. } \|\mathbf{y}_i \cdot \mathbf{x}\| \geq c(\mathbf{x}) \forall i \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

Theorem 3. *Let $\{\mathbf{y}_i\}$ be a lacunary sequence in \mathbb{Z}^n . Furthermore, let $K \subseteq [0, 1]^n$ be a compact set which supports an absolutely α -decaying, δ -Ahlfors regular measure μ such that $\delta > n - 1$. Then*

$$\dim(\mathbf{Bad}_{\{\mathbf{y}_i\}} \cap K) = \delta.$$

On setting $n = 1$, $K = [0, 1]$ and μ to be one-dimensional Lebesgue measure, Theorem 3 corresponds to the theorem of Pollington and de Mathan referred to above.

3. PRELIMINARIES FOR THEOREM 3

The proof of Theorem 3 makes use of the general framework developed in [13] for establishing dimension statements for a large class of badly approximable sets. In this section we provide a simplification of the framework that is geared towards the particular application we have in mind. In turn, this will avoid excessive referencing to the conditions imposed in [13] and thereby improve the clarity of our exposition.

As in §2, let (X, d) be a metric space and (Ω, d) be a compact subspace of X which supports a non-atomic finite measure μ . Let $\mathcal{R} := \{R_\alpha \in X : \alpha \in J\}$ be a family of subsets R_α of X indexed by an infinite countable set J . The sets R_α will be referred to as the *resonant sets*. Next, let $\beta : J \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{>0} : \alpha \mapsto \beta_\alpha$ be a positive function on J such that the number of $\alpha \in J$ with β_α bounded above is finite. Thus, β_α tends to infinity as α runs through J . We are now in the position to define the badly approximable set

$$\mathbf{Bad}(\mathcal{R}, \beta) := \left\{ x \in \Omega : \exists c(x) > 0 \text{ s.t. } d(x, R_\alpha) \geq \frac{c(x)}{\beta_\alpha} \forall \alpha \in J \right\},$$

where $d(x, R_\alpha) := \inf_{a \in R_\alpha} d(x, a)$. Loosely speaking, $\mathbf{Bad}(\mathcal{R}, \beta)$ consists of points in Ω that ‘stay clear’ of the family \mathcal{R} of resonant sets by a factor governed by β .

The goal is to determine conditions under which $\dim \mathbf{Bad}(\mathcal{R}, \beta) = \dim \Omega$; that is to say that the set of badly approximable points in Ω is of maximal dimension. With this in mind, we begin with some useful notation. For any fixed integer $k > 1$ and any integer $n \geq 1$, let $B_n := \{x \in \Omega : d(c, x) \leq 1/k^n\}$ denote a generic closed ball in Ω of radius $1/k^n$ with centre c in Ω . For any $\theta \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$, let $\theta B_n := \{x \in \Omega : d(c, x) \leq \theta/k^n\}$ denote the ball B_n scaled by θ . Finally, let $J(n) := \{\alpha \in J : k^{n-1} \leq \beta_\alpha < k^n\}$. The following statement is a simple consequence of combining Theorem 1 and Lemma 7 of [13] and realises the above mentioned goal.

Theorem KTV. *Let (X, d) be a metric space and (Ω, d) be a compact subspace of X which supports of a δ -Ahlfors regular measure μ . Let k be sufficiently large. Then for any $\theta \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$, any $n \geq 1$ and any ball B_n there exists a collection $\mathcal{C}(\theta B_n)$ of disjoint balls $2\theta B_{n+1}$ contained within θB_n such that $\#\mathcal{C}(\theta B_n) \geq \kappa_1 k^\delta$. In addition, suppose for some $\theta \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ we also have that*

$$\#\left\{2\theta B_{n+1} \subset \mathcal{C}(\theta B_n) : \min_{\alpha \in J(n+1)} d(c, R_\alpha) \leq 2\theta k^{-(n+1)}\right\} \leq \kappa_2 k^\delta, \quad (7)$$

where $0 < \kappa_2 < \kappa_1$ are absolutely constants independent of k and n . Furthermore, suppose

$$\dim(\cup_{\alpha \in J} R_\alpha) < \delta. \quad (8)$$

Then

$$\dim \mathbf{Bad}(\mathcal{R}, \beta) = \delta.$$

Note that the theorem together with (6) implies that $\dim \mathbf{Bad}(\mathcal{R}, \beta) = \dim \Omega$.

4. PROOF OF THEOREM 3

We are given a lacunary sequence $\{\mathbf{y}_i\}$. For each index $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and any integer p , consider the hyperplane $\mathcal{L}_{p,i} := \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n : \mathbf{y}_i \cdot \mathbf{x} = p\}$. It is easily verified that $\mathbf{Bad}_{\{\mathbf{y}_i\}} \cap K$ is equivalent to the set of \mathbf{x} in K for which there exists a constant $c(\mathbf{x}) > 0$ such that \mathbf{x} avoids the $c(\mathbf{x})/|\mathbf{y}_i|_2$ -neighbourhood of $\mathcal{L}_{p,i}$ for every choice of i and p ; that is

$$\mathbf{Bad}_{\{\mathbf{y}_i\}} \cap K = \left\{ \mathbf{x} \in K : \exists c(\mathbf{x}) > 0 \text{ s.t. } \min_{\mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{L}_{p,i}} |\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}|_2 \geq \frac{c(\mathbf{x})}{|\mathbf{y}_i|_2} \forall (p, i) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{N} \right\}.$$

Here $|\cdot|_2$ is the standard Euclidean norm in \mathbb{R}^n . With reference to §3, set

$$\begin{aligned} X &:= \mathbb{R}^n, & \Omega &:= K, & d &:= |\cdot|_2, & J &:= \{(p, i) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{N}\}, \\ \alpha &:= (p, i) \in J, & R_\alpha &:= \mathcal{L}_{p,i} & \text{and} & \beta_\alpha &:= |\mathbf{y}_i|_2. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\mathbf{Bad}(\mathcal{R}, \beta) = \mathbf{Bad}_{\{\mathbf{y}_i\}} \cap K.$$

The upshot of this is that the proof of Theorem 3 is reduced to showing that the conditions of Theorem KTV are satisfied.

For $k > 1$ and $m \geq 1$, let B_m be a generic closed ball of radius k^{-m} and centre in K . For k sufficiently large and any $\theta \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$, Theorem KTV guarantees the existence of a collection $\mathcal{C}(\theta B_m)$ of disjoint balls $2\theta B_{m+1}$ contained within θB_m such that

$$\#\mathcal{C}(\theta B_m) \geq \kappa_1 k^\delta.$$

The positive constant κ_1 is independent of k and n . We now endeavor to show that the additional condition (7) on the collection $\mathcal{C}(\theta B_m)$ is satisfied. To this end, set

$\theta := (2k)^{-1}$ and proceed as follows. Fix $m \geq 1$ and assume that there exists an index i such that

$$k^m \leq |\mathbf{y}_i|_2 < k^{m+1}. \quad (9)$$

If this was not the case, the left hand side of (7) is zero and the additional condition is trivially satisfied. Associated with the index i is the family of hyperplanes $\{\mathcal{L}_{p,i} : p \in \mathbb{Z}\}$. The distance between any two such hyperplanes is at least $|\mathbf{y}_i|_2^{-1} > k^{-(m+1)}$. The diameter of the ball θB_m is $k^{-(m+1)}$. Thus, for any element of the sequence $\{\mathbf{y}_i\}$ satisfying (9) there is at most one hyperplane passing through θB_m . Assume, the hyperplane $\mathcal{L}_{p,i}$ passes through θB_m and consider the counting function

$$\omega(m, p, i) := \# \{2\theta B_{m+1} \subset \mathcal{C}(\theta B_m) : 2\theta B_{m+1} \cap \mathcal{L}_{p,i} \neq \emptyset\}.$$

The balls $2\theta B_{m+1}$ are disjoint and each is of diameter $4\theta k^{-(m+1)}$. Thus, on setting $\epsilon := 8\theta k^{-(m+1)}$ it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \omega(m, p, i) &\leq \# \left\{ 2\theta B_{m+1} \subset \mathcal{C}(\theta B_m) : 2\theta B_{m+1} \subset \mathcal{L}_{p,i}^{(\epsilon)} \right\} \\ &\leq \frac{\mu(\theta B_m \cap \mathcal{L}_{p,i}^{(\epsilon)})}{\mu(2\theta B_{m+1})}. \end{aligned}$$

On making use of the fact that μ is absolutely α -decaying and δ -Ahlfors regular, it is readily verified that

$$\omega(m, p, i) \leq \kappa k^{\delta-\alpha}.$$

The absolute constant κ is dependent only on α and δ . Next, let $v(m, \{\mathbf{y}_i\})$ denote the number of elements of the sequence $\{\mathbf{y}_i\}$ satisfying (9). Since $\{\mathbf{y}_i\}$ is lacunary, we find that for k sufficiently large

$$v(m, \{\mathbf{y}_i\}) \leq 1 + \log(\sqrt{n}k) / \log \lambda < \frac{\kappa_1}{2\kappa} k^\alpha.$$

Here, $\lambda > 1$ is the lacunarity constant and we have used the fact that $|\mathbf{y}| \leq |\mathbf{y}|_2 \leq \sqrt{n} |\mathbf{y}|$ for $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{Z}^n$. On combining the above upper bound estimates, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{l.h.s. of (7)} &< v(m, \{\mathbf{y}_i\}) \times \omega(m, p, i) \\ &\leq \frac{\kappa_1}{2\kappa} k^\alpha \times \kappa k^{\delta-\alpha} = \frac{1}{2} \kappa_1 k^\delta. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, with $\theta := (2k)^{-1}$ the collection $\mathcal{C}(\theta B_m)$ satisfies (7). Finally, note that the collection $\{\mathcal{L}_{p,i} : (p, i) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{N}\}$ of hyperplanes (resonant sets) is countable and so

$$\dim(\cup \mathcal{L}_{p,i}) = n - 1.$$

We are given that $\delta > n - 1$ and so (8) is trivially satisfied. Thus, the conditions of Theorem KTV are satisfied and Theorem 3 follows.

5. PRELIMINARIES FOR THEOREM 2

The proof of Theorem 2 makes use of the existence of ‘special’ sequences which for the most part are constructed in [5]. Throughout, $\text{Mat}_{n \times m}^*(\mathbb{R})$ will denote the collection of matrices $A \in \text{Mat}_{n \times m}(\mathbb{R})$ for which the associated group $G := A^T \mathbb{Z}^n + \mathbb{Z}^m$ has rank $n + m$. In Section 3 of [5], it is shown that associated with each matrix $A \in \text{Mat}_{n \times m}^*(\mathbb{R})$ there exists a sequence $\{\mathbf{y}_i\}$ of integer vectors $\mathbf{y}_i = (y_{1,i}, \dots, y_{n,i})^T \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ satisfying the following properties:

- (i) $1 = |\mathbf{y}_1| < |\mathbf{y}_2| < |\mathbf{y}_3| < \dots$,
- (ii) $\|A^T \mathbf{y}_1\| > \|A^T \mathbf{y}_2\| > \|A^T \mathbf{y}_3\| > \dots$,
- (iii) For all non-zero $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ with $|\mathbf{y}| < |\mathbf{y}_{i+1}|$ we have that $\|A^T \mathbf{y}\| \geq \|A^T \mathbf{y}_i\|$.

Such a sequence $\{\mathbf{y}_i\}$ is referred to as a *sequence of best approximations* to A . In the one-dimensional case ($n = m = 1$), when A is an irrational number α , the sequence of best approximations is precisely the sequence of denominators associated with the convergents of the continued fraction representing α .

Let $\{\mathbf{y}_i\}$ be a sequence of best approximations to a matrix $A \in \text{Mat}_{n \times m}^*(\mathbb{R})$. A further property enjoyed by $\{\mathbf{y}_i\}$, is that

$$\|A^T \mathbf{y}_i\| \leq |\mathbf{y}_{i+1}|^{-m/n} \quad \forall i \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (10)$$

This property is easily deduced via Dirichlet's box principle – see Section 3 of [5] for the details.

The following result, which is taken from Section 5 of [5], enables us to extract a lacunary subsequence from a given sequence of best approximations. This will allow us to utilise Theorem 3 in the course of establishing Theorem 2.

Lemma BL. *Let $A \in \text{Mat}_{n \times m}^*(\mathbb{R})$ and let $\{\mathbf{y}_i\}$ be a sequence of best approximations to A . Then, there exists an increasing function $\phi : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ such that $\phi(1) = 1$ and for $i \geq 2$*

$$|\mathbf{y}_{\phi(i)}| \geq \sqrt{9n} |\mathbf{y}_{\phi(i-1)}| \quad \text{and} \quad |\mathbf{y}_{\phi(i-1)+1}| \geq \frac{|\mathbf{y}_{\phi(i)}|}{9n}. \quad (11)$$

It is clear that the sequence $\{\mathbf{y}_{\phi(i)}\}$ is lacunary and that it also satisfies (10); i.e.

$$\|A^T \mathbf{y}_{\phi(i)}\| \leq |\mathbf{y}_{\phi(i)+1}|^{-m/n} \quad \forall i \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (12)$$

The next inequality follows directly from the definition of the norms involved. For any \mathbf{x} and \mathbf{y} in \mathbb{R}^k , we have that

$$\|\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y}\| < k \|\mathbf{x}\| \|\mathbf{y}\|. \quad (13)$$

We end this section with a short discussion that allows us to assume that $A \in \text{Mat}_{n \times m}^*(\mathbb{R})$ when proving Theorem 2. With this in mind, suppose $A \in \text{Mat}_{n \times m}(\mathbb{R})$ and that the rank of the associated group $G := A^T \mathbb{Z}^n + \mathbb{Z}^m$ is strictly less than $n + m$. Then, it is easily verified that $\{A\mathbf{q} : \mathbf{q} \in \mathbb{Z}^m\}$ is restricted to at most a countable family of positively separated, parallel hyperplanes in \mathbb{R}^n . Let F denote the set of these hyperplanes. Then,

$$K \setminus F = \mathbf{Bad}_A \cap K.$$

We are given that $\delta > n - 1$ which together with (6) implies that $\dim K$ is strictly greater than $\dim F$. Thus, $\dim(K \setminus F) = \dim K$ and the statement of Theorem 2 follows for any $A \notin \text{Mat}_{n \times m}^*(\mathbb{R})$.

6. PROOF OF THEOREM 2

Without loss of generality, assume that $A \in \text{Mat}_{n \times m}^*(\mathbb{R})$ and let $\{\mathbf{y}_i\}$ be a sequence of best approximations to A . In view of Lemma BL, there exists a lacunary subsequence $\{\mathbf{y}_{\phi(i)}\}$ of the sequence of best approximations. For any $c > 0$, let

$$\mathbf{B}_{\{\mathbf{y}_{\phi(i)}\}}(c) := \{\mathbf{x} \in K : \|\mathbf{y}_{\phi(i)} \cdot \mathbf{x}\| \geq c \quad \forall i \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

It is readily verified that $\mathbf{Bad}_{\{\mathbf{y}_{\phi(i)}\}} \cap K = \bigcup_{c>0} \mathbf{B}_{\{\mathbf{y}_{\phi(i)}\}}(c)$ and that

$$\dim \mathbf{B}_{\{\mathbf{y}_{\phi(i)}\}}(c) \rightarrow \dim (\mathbf{Bad}_{\{\mathbf{y}_{\phi(i)}\}} \cap K) \quad \text{as } c \rightarrow 0.$$

For c sufficiently small, suppose for the moment that

$$\mathbf{B}_{\{\mathbf{y}_{\phi(i)}\}}(c) \subseteq \mathbf{Bad}_A \cap K. \quad (14)$$

On utilising Theorem 3, it follows that

$$\dim (\mathbf{Bad}_{\{\mathbf{y}_{\phi(i)}\}} \cap K) \geq \dim \mathbf{B}_{\{\mathbf{y}_{\phi(i)}\}}(c) \rightarrow \delta \quad \text{as } c \rightarrow 0.$$

The upshot of this is that $\dim (\mathbf{Bad}_{\{\mathbf{y}_{\phi(i)}\}} \cap K) \geq \delta$. For the complementary upper bound statement, trivially

$$\dim (\mathbf{Bad}_{\{\mathbf{y}_{\phi(i)}\}} \cap K) \leq \dim K \stackrel{(6)}{=} \delta.$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 2 modulo the inclusion (14).

To establish (14), fix a point \mathbf{x} in $\mathbf{B}_{\{\mathbf{y}_{\phi(i)}\}}(c)$ and let \mathbf{q} be any non-zero integer vector. For c sufficiently small, there exists an index $i \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$|\mathbf{y}_{\phi(i)}| \leq 9n \left(\frac{2m}{c} \right)^{m/n} |\mathbf{q}|^{m/n} < |\mathbf{y}_{\phi(i+1)}|. \quad (15)$$

The existence of such an index is guaranteed by the first of the inequalities in (11) as long as c is sufficiently small. By the definition of $\mathbf{B}_{\{\mathbf{y}_{\phi(i)}\}}(c)$ and the trivial equality

$$\mathbf{y}_{\phi(i)} \cdot \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{q} \cdot A^T \mathbf{y}_{\phi(i)} - \mathbf{y}_{\phi(i)} \cdot (A\mathbf{q} - \mathbf{x}),$$

we immediately have that

$$0 < c \leq \|\mathbf{y}_{\phi(i)} \cdot \mathbf{x}\| = \|\mathbf{q} \cdot A^T \mathbf{y}_{\phi(i)} - \mathbf{y}_{\phi(i)} \cdot (A\mathbf{q} - \mathbf{x})\|. \quad (16)$$

On applying the triangle inequality and making use of (13), it follows that

$$c \leq m |\mathbf{q}| \|A^T \mathbf{y}_{\phi(i)}\| + n |\mathbf{y}_{\phi(i)}| \|A\mathbf{q} - \mathbf{x}\|. \quad (17)$$

However,

$$m |\mathbf{q}| \|A^T \mathbf{y}_{\phi(i)}\| \stackrel{(12)}{\leq} m |\mathbf{q}| |\mathbf{y}_{\phi(i+1)}|^{-n/m} \stackrel{(15)}{\leq} \frac{m}{(9n)^{n/m} \frac{2m}{c}} \left(\frac{|\mathbf{y}_{\phi(i+1)}|}{|\mathbf{y}_{\phi(i+1)}|} \right)^{n/m} \stackrel{(11)}{\leq} \frac{c}{2}$$

and

$$n |\mathbf{y}_{\phi(i)}| \|A\mathbf{q} - \mathbf{x}\| \stackrel{(15)}{\leq} 9n^2 \left(\frac{2m}{c} \right)^{m/n} |\mathbf{q}|^{m/n} \|A\mathbf{q} - \mathbf{x}\|,$$

which together with (17) yields that

$$\|A\mathbf{q} - \mathbf{x}\| > \frac{c^{m/n+1}}{9n^2 (2m)^{m/n}} |\mathbf{q}|^{-m/n}.$$

In other words, for any c sufficiently small

$$\mathbf{B}_{\{\mathbf{y}_{\phi(i)}\}}(c) \subseteq \left\{ \mathbf{x} \in K : \exists c(\mathbf{x}) > 0 \text{ s.t. } \|A\mathbf{q} - \mathbf{x}\| \geq \frac{c(\mathbf{x})}{|\mathbf{q}|^{m/n}} \forall \mathbf{q} \in \mathbb{Z}^m \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\} \right\}.$$

The right hand side is $\mathbf{Bad}_A \cap K$ and this establishes (14) which in turn completes the proof of Theorem 2.

REFERENCES

- [1] V. Beresnevich, V. Bernik, M. Dodson and S. Velani, *Classical metric Diophantine approximation revisited*, preprint arXiv:0803.2351v1, 2008.
- [2] V. Beresnevich and S. Velani, *A mass transference principle and the Duffin-Schaeffer conjecture for Hausdorff measures*, Ann. of Math. (2) **164** (2006), no. 3, 971–992.
- [3] Y. Bugeaud, *A note on inhomogeneous Diophantine approximation*, Glasg. Math. J. **45** (2003), no. 1, 105–110.
- [4] Y. Bugeaud and N. Chevallier, *On simultaneous inhomogeneous Diophantine approximation*, Acta Arith. **123** (2006), no. 2, 97–123.
- [5] Y. Bugeaud and M. Laurent, *On exponents of homogeneous and inhomogeneous Diophantine approximation*, Mosc. Math. J. **5** (2005), no. 4, 747–766, 972.
- [6] J. W. S. Cassels, *An introduction to Diophantine approximation*, Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics and Mathematical Physics, No. 45, Cambridge University Press, New York, 1957.
- [7] A.-H. Fan and J. Wu, *A note on inhomogeneous Diophantine approximation with a general error function*, Glasg. Math. J. **48** (2006), no. 2, 187–191.
- [8] B. Fayad, *Mixing in the absence of the shrinking target property*, Bull. London Math. Soc. **38** (2006), no. 5, 829–838.
- [9] R. Hill and S. Velani, *Ergodic theory of shrinking targets.*, Invent. Math., **119** (1995), 175–198.
- [10] A. Ya. Khinchin, *Sur le problème de Tchebycheff.*, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR, Ser. Mat. **10** (1946), 281–294.
- [11] D. H. Kim, *The shrinking target property of irrational rotations*, Nonlinearity **20** (2007), no. 7, 1637–1643.
- [12] D. Kleinbock and B. Weiss, *Badly approximable vectors on fractals*, Israel J. Math. **149** (2005), 137–170, Probability in mathematics.
- [13] S. Kristensen, R. Thorn and S. Velani, *Diophantine approximation and badly approximable sets*, Adv. Math. **203** (2006), no. 1, 132–169.
- [14] J. Kurzweil, *On the metric theory of inhomogeneous diophantine approximations*, Studia Math. **15** (1955), 84–112.
- [15] B. de Mathan, *Sur un problème de densité modulo 1*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A-B **287** (1978), no. 5, A277–A279.
- [16] ———, *Numbers contravening a condition in density modulo 1*, Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar. **36** (1980), no. 3-4, 237–241.
- [17] P. Mattila: *Geometry of sets and measures in Euclidean spaces. Fractals and rectifiability*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995.
- [18] H. Minkowski, *Über die Annäherung an eine reelle Grösse durch rationale Zahlen*, Math. Ann. **54** (1901), 91–124 (German).
- [19] A. D. Pollington, *On the density of sequence $\{n_k\xi\}$* , Illinois J. Math. **23** (1979), no. 4, 511–515.

- [20] A. D. Pollington and S. Velani, *Metric Diophantine approximation and 'absolutely friendly' measures.*, *Selecta Math. (N.S.)* **11** (2005), 297-307.
- [21] S. Trubetskoĭ and J. Schmeling, *Inhomogeneous Diophantine approximations and angular recurrence for billiards in polygons*, *Mat. Sb.* **194** (2003), no. 2, 129–144.
- [22] J. Tseng, *On circle rotations and the shrinking target properties*, *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst.* **20** (2008), no. 4, 1111–1122.
- [23] ———, *More remarks on shrinking target properties*, preprint arXiv:0807.3298v1, 2008.
- [24] H. Weyl, *Über die Gleichverteilung von Zahlen mod. Eins*, *Math. Ann.* **77** (1916), no. 3, 313–352.

Y. BUGEAUD, UNIVERSITÉ LOUIS PASTEUR, MATHÉMATIQUES, 7, RUE RENÉ DESCARTES, F-67084 STRASBOURG CEDEX, FRANCE

S. HARRAP, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF YORK, HESLINGTON, YORK YO10 5DD, UNITED KINGDOM

S. KRISTENSEN, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, FACULTY OF SCIENCE, UNIVERSITY OF AARHUS, NY MUNKEGADE, BUILDING 530, DK-8000 AARHUS C, DENMARK

S. VELANI, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF YORK, HESLINGTON, YORK YO10 5DD, UNITED KINGDOM